

## Defence collaboration

Gwythian Prins

This is an extract of an article originally published in the *Spectator*. As a member of Veterans for Britain, Professor Gwythian Prins criticises the recent DEXEU Technical Note on defence collaboration with the EU, which includes ambitions to lock us into subordination with the EU Political and Security Committee and EU Military Committee. “We should have no institutional relationship on Defence and Security with the EU at all”, he writes.

“They say that the devil is in the detail – and that is certainly the case with the government’s Brexit plans on defence and security. On May 24th, Gavin Williamson delivered a major speech on defence at the First Sea Lord’s Seapower Conference. It was a good speech, but then, under cover of the positive news coverage which it attracted, the Department for Exiting the EU slipped out a ‘Technical Note’. They must have hoped nobody would notice. Plenty of Brexiteer ministers didn’t seem to spot it, although goodness knows why not. But at Veterans for Britain, we did notice. We are on Red Alert. There are key civil servants and ministers who we do not trust and so we keep them under close observation.

This ‘Technical Note’ spells out the Government’s ambitions for a ‘deep and special partnership’ with the EU in Defence and Security after Brexit. But as I explained in two reports published in May for the ‘Briefings for Brexit’ website, Britain does not need such a relationship with the EU after we leave.

We should have no institutional relationship on Defence and Security with the EU at all. In May, I and the former Chief of MI6 Sir Richard Dearlove co-authored an article for ‘Brexit Central’. The key message was this: ‘No deal is always better than any deal’ in this area (or any area).

But as the *Sun* revealed – when it published the ‘Kit Kat Tapes’ (so called because they revealed how Whitehall officials were hatching a plan akin to a Kit Kat, with superficially Brexit chocolate covering up the UK’s continued ties to Brussels) – like the Kit Kat tapes, this ‘Technical Note’ is in fact a matter of over-riding national interest.

In the tapes, Alastair Brockbank, the young adviser to the Prime Minister’s Brain on Brexit, Oliver Robbins, revealed more about the government’s plan. ‘What we’re seeking is for that future agreement to come in as soon as possible,’ he says. He then goes on: ‘What will happen is that because we are seeking this future agreement during the IP [Implementation Period], we are negotiating the detail of that at the same time as we are discussing the political high level fluffy bits that will go into any declaration that gets made public. So there are some kind of handling issues around there.’

‘High level fluffy bits?’ ‘Some kind of handling issues.’ This means hoodwinking the public and the ‘Technical Note’ is designed to do just that.

There is indeed a whole pandemonium in there. In Articles 6 and 14 we find ambitions to share intelligence and analysis. That could

imperil our real defence alliance through Five Eyes and the USA. As Sir Richard and I warned, this would be a threat to national security. Instead, we should break out of Galileo, in which we are the dominant force, and build our own satellites.

In Article 17 we find ambitions to lock us into subordination with the EU Political and Security Committee and EU Military Committee. Precisely as Brockbank said in the Kit Kat tapes, there, in Article 17 (f), we find the ambition for ‘secondments to the EU Foreign Service’. In 17 (h) (i) ‘UK participation in the EU Operational HQ’. Are Ministers asleep? Or blind? Or just ignorant of the plain fact that any association to one part leads to subordination to EU control in all areas.

It gets worse. Article 18 ‘intends to achieve a bespoke Administrative Arrangement with the European Defence Agency’. It ‘agrees arrangements for participation in the Commission’s European Defence Fund’. To have ‘the option to participate in PESCO as a Third Party’ and access to ‘commercial opportunities’. But we have formally stated we will not be in PESCO. Ministers and civil servants clearly do not understand that ‘Third Party’ participation is structurally prescribed as subordination or nothing. Britain did not vote for a ‘deep and special’ degree of subordination more than any other third party country.

And then we get to the truly devilish part in Article 25. ‘We should not wait where we do not need to. The UK

Continued on page 2

# Defence collaboration

Continued from page 1

welcomes the agreement that future arrangements on CSFP and CSDP could become effective during the Implementation Period.’ This is precisely what Brockbank said in the Kit Kat Tapes – and it would effectively mean that any time from now, the Government could permanently lock us under EU control in Defence and Security by Prerogative Powers. In effect, it would allow for an administrative *coup d'état*.

.... or many months, starting in the dangerous May Years, Sir Richard Dearlove, Lord Guthrie and I advocated the need for a separate Defence, Intelligence & Security Treaty believing that such an instrument would be the best way to exclude EU interference in these crucial, sovereign areas: areas in which the EU has no business to be at all. Candidly we expected that HMG

would say something. If it was going to say something, then say this, we said. We can now retire that text, for it is far, far better, more elegant and powerful simply to say nothing. And this what HMG has done. The word ‘defence’ does not appear in the document anywhere. Separately, there still remains the need for a Statutory Instrument (SI) to annul formally all and any adhesions to the emergent EU Defence Union, made unconstitutionally by Alan Duncan and officials during the period 2016-19. We hope that this will occur rapidly, not least because that clarifies the starting position for the imminent General Review of foreign policy, defence and all related matters, like international aid-giving. But for the EU the message is to forget about the right hand side of the diagram altogether. Don’t bother to ask. You will not get. Do you hear the applause from atop Nelson’s Column

and the gruff lion’s roar of approval from that stocky, defiant statue in Parliament Square?

.... I do have strong concerns about ‘energy’ .... because the EU is now seeking cunningly and strongly, under cover from St Greta and her less idealistic and more worldly backers, to inhabit the ‘cultural hegemony’ afforded by the current hysteria to clothe itself in deep green in order to use ‘climate change/emergency’ related issues as a way to trap the Prime Minister into the high, dynamic alignment under EU control that this document explicitly rejects. It is a classic example of the “Bootleggers and Baptists” phenomenon, where rent-seekers hide behind ‘virtue signallers’....”

*Gwythian Prins is Emeritus Research Professor, at the LSE, and a specialist on security and defence.*

## EU spending

The EU Council and EU Parliament has allowed the EU Commission to borrow money.

It always used to be the case that the EU Commission had to balance its books and could not borrow money. All this has now changed. Here is an excerpt from the actual EU ‘Decision’ that was passed in respect of the above monies.

“The Commission shall be empowered, on behalf of the Union, to borrow the necessary funds on the capital markets or from financial institutions and to or lend them to the

partner. The loans shall have a maximum average maturity of 15 years.”

- Decision (EU) 2020/701 of The European Parliament and of The Council of May 25th 2020, taken from the Official Journal of the EU.

As this decision was made while the UK was still technically a member, although it was not consulted or represented over this decision it is still likely to be at responsible for the debt occurred by the EU during this transition period.

Clearly in the EU rules and customs

are there to be broken... unless it involves the UK.

In the past the EU Commission was never allowed to finance its operations by incurring a common EU debt. In theory the Commission must balance its books – never spending more than it receives.

As with so many issues, however, when the EU wants to break its own rules it does. All it takes is a decision by the EU Council, rubber-stamped by the EU Parliament, as in this case. This appears to be the third case in which this has happened in recent years.

## EU migration policy attacked

The European Commission unveiled its long-awaited migration and asylum pact on the 23rd September.

Following months of delays, it is one of president Ursula von der Leyen’s core proposals and comes with promises not to repeat past failures, which turned EU states against one another. However, it appears to have

failed immediately.

The prime ministers of the central European ‘Visegrad Four’ countries pushed back against the EU Commission’s migration reform package on Thursday 24th September - only a day after it was presented.

After a meeting in Brussels with EU Commission president Ursula von der

Leyen, Hungarian prime minister Viktor Orban and Polish premier Mateusz Morawiecki, and the Czech prime minister Andrej Babis said the plan was unacceptable to the V4 group, which also includes Slovakia. (Prime minister Igor Matovic was not present, but was represented by his Czech counterpart.)

# The farce of a ‘Free trade deal’

The legislation that was placed before UK Parliament, is, though you wouldn't guess it from the coverage, narrowly and specifically designed to prevent such barriers, which might be applied maliciously. It is far from clear that it breaches the law, since it upholds one part of the Northern Ireland Protocol (“Northern Ireland is part of the customs territory of the United Kingdom”) over later, potentially contradictory, articles.

The Withdrawal Agreement also contains the following clause: “If the application of this Protocol leads to serious economic, societal or environmental difficulties that are liable to persist, or to diversion of trade, the Union or the United Kingdom may UNILATERALLY take appropriate safeguard measures.” It is more honest of the British Government to pre-announce those safeguard measures now.

In the circumstances, the changes being flagged up are stunningly modest. Given the EU's refusal to engage seriously in trade talks, the whole basis of the Withdrawal Agreement ought to be void.

Remember that the UK made these massive concessions – on financial payments as well as Ireland – in exchange for the promise of free trade.

But can anyone, looking back over the past three years, maintain with a straight face that Brussels has sought an agreement “in good faith”?

When Mrs May suggested a relatively close relationship, Michel Barnier responded with a staircase chart showing that the only option was a Canada-style trade agreement. The moment Boris took him at his word and accepted the offer, it was snatched away.

Good faith? Britain is not asking for anything that the EU has not already offered other trading partners, such as Canada and South Korea. Yet Barnier demands continuing oversight of chunks of the UK economy – not only, as is sometimes reported, on state aid, which would be easily enough resolved, but on all standards.

Ursula von der Leyen says that, if Britain won't implement the Withdrawal Agreement to her satisfaction, there will be no trade deal. But that cuts both ways. If there won't be a trade deal, not even the standard low-fat deal that the EU offers to others, why fork over the cash?

Some EU politicians, perhaps with an eye on Irish America, pretend that this is about the peace process; but, even in the topsy-turvy world of Brussels, you can't seriously argue that trade barriers between Northern

Ireland and the Republic would violate the Good Friday Agreement but that trade barriers between Northern Ireland and Great Britain would not. Indeed, allowing Brussels to inflict such barriers would breach Article VI of the 1801 Act of Union – a far more serious treaty violation.

The Government has, by openly and honestly proposing these modifications in advance, signalled to the EU that it wants to continue talking in the hope of finding a sensible deal. If Brussels won't engage, then, instead of arguably welshing on one part of the treaty, we should give notice and nullify the lot – withdrawing, so to speak, from the Withdrawal Agreement.

We should say, in effect, “Thanks, but no trade deal, no deal. We'll leave it to an international tribunal to sort out any outstanding debts, and I think we both know that the resulting sum will be smaller than what we were offering you. As for Northern Ireland, it will remain an integral part of the United Kingdom, but we won't raise any infrastructure at the border. What you do on your side is, of course, up to you. You can have checks in Ireland or between Ireland and the Continent. Or you can simply agree the comprehensive trade deal we were proposing all along, which will make checks unnecessary. Your call.”

## A Brexit reference book

A very informative book has recently been released for all those (if there are any left) who wish to confirm why the UK must leave the EU.

The book “Everything You Always Wanted To Know About Brexit - But Never Dared To Ask is only available free on line at <https://everythingbrexit>.

Much of the reporting on Brexit by the mainstream media has been confused and ill-informed. This book seeks to explain what underlies Brexit, what drove it and how the Brexit earthquake came about.

The author William Dartmouth was an elected Member of the European

Parliament 2009 – 2019 for the South West and Gibraltar (first for UKIP, then as a BREXIT party registered supporter), almost all that time as a Group Coordinator (in US parlance “ranking member”) on the European Parliament's Committee for International Trade, and therefore had a ring side seat.

The author explains that there have been obvious omissions by the press and – of course - the BBC. One example (but certainly not the only one) is the enormous value of the trade that takes place between the EU and non-EU countries without Trade Agreements. For example the Trade

between the EU and China, Russia and the United States. All this trade takes place under WTO (World Trade Organisation) rules. It is also not mentioned that last year the EU's 27 members states sold to the UK over £100 billion value of goods more than we in the UK sold them. (To put it another way, £100 billion is the UK's current deficit of trade in goods with the EU.)

Through detailed analysis and data, the book offers the facts and evidence to dispel the Brexit myths at a time when the subject is yet again under close scrutiny as we get to the end of the transition period on December 31st.

# Safety in the ‘Channel Tunnel’

On the 9th September the European Council announced a decision that could have far reaching affects for the UK by interfering in the current rules that apply to the Channel Tunnel and also a way of making it harder for UK companies involved in supplying rail equipment.

Yet again we see the EU pushing for control over regulations after Brexit that would prove costly for the UK and also unnecessary, this time using the safety argument.

The decision they announced was that;

*“The EU is working on legislation to ensure the safe and efficient operation of the Channel Tunnel railway connection between continental Europe and the United Kingdom (Channel Fixed Link) after the end of the Brexit transition period. Today, the Council’s Permanent Representatives Committee agreed on a negotiation mandate on two proposals aimed at maintaining a single safety authority, which would continue to apply the same set of rules over the whole infrastructure, including in its section under UK jurisdiction.*

*Currently, all matters concerning the operation of the Channel Fixed Link are supervised by an Intergovernmental Commission set up by the Treaty of Canterbury, signed between France and the UK in 1986.*

*Under the Council mandate, France will be empowered to negotiate an amendment to the Canterbury Treaty and the EU railway safety and interoperability rules will be amended so that the Intergovernmental Commission can be maintained as the safety authority competent for the application of EU law within the Channel Fixed Link.*

*The draft regulation amending the safety and interoperability provisions will be split into two draft regulations, in order to amend the Statute of the European Court of Justice in a manner that respects the Court’s prerogatives while avoiding a delay in the start of the negotiations.”*

This is in stark contrast to the statement by the French government in February 2019, that stated;

*“The Channel Tunnel operates effectively now under the bilateral Intergovernmental Commission established by the Treaty of Canterbury. No renegotiation of the treaty is required to maintain this safety authority after Brexit, since it operates under OTIF’s international railway convention, to which the UK, France and the EU are all signatories. This applies to technical functional requirements, standards, interoperability and contracts for carriage by rail.*

*After the United Kingdom’s*

*withdrawal from the European Union, with the IGC no longer designated by two member states but one member state (France) and a non-EU country (the United Kingdom), the IGC would no longer be able to exercise the functions devolved under European law to a national safety authority.*

*Consequently, for France, the draft decree entrusts the tasks of a national safety authority for the Fixed Link to the Établissement public de Sécurité ferroviaire (EPSF – Public Institution of Rail Safety). It is worth remembering that the EPSF already carries out these tasks on the national rail network.*

*Given the specific nature of the cross-Channel Fixed Link and the safety challenges in this type of infrastructure, the French authorities will ensure that the EPSF’s action is closely coordinated with that of the authority the United Kingdom will designate for itself, so that rail safety regulation is consistent over the whole infrastructure. This is key to guaranteeing a continued high level of safety for rail traffic in the Fixed Link.*

The UK government must resist this action immediately before it is expanded even further to affect trains from Northern Ireland to Ireland and hence power to the ECJ as arbiters. These under-handed ways the EU seeks influence must be stopped.

## French Russian co-operation

According to the Kremlin a “strengthening of Franco-Russian co-operation in the fight against terrorism and illegal immigration” had been requested by President Emmanuel Macron.

This followed the report of a phone

conversation between French president Emmanuel Macron in mid-October and the Russian leader Vladimir Putin, where Macron had requested this co-operation. The request had come after the murder the previous week of a French teacher by an extremist of

Russian origin.

The Kremlin has issued a statement that the two leaders “reaffirmed their mutual interest in intensifying joint efforts in the fight against terrorism and the propagation of extremist ideology”.

## Control over foreign criminals

Many more EU citizens with criminal records will be barred from entering the UK from January the Home Office has said.

People sentenced to more than a year in prison will be turned away, in line with other foreign nationals.

Previously officials had to show EU

offenders presented a serious threat.

There are however, concerns that a no-deal Brexit could make it harder to identify foreign criminals.

# Hidden costs of the WA

Jayne Adye

Despite all the talk about the Withdrawal Agreement (WA) not a lot is said about the ongoing costs that this created and will continue until 2040.

“The WA is being scrutinised by the day, and every week a new problem seems to be uncovered which will keep us – in one way or another – attached to Brussels for years to come. Our continued obligations to the European Investment Bank (EIB) after the Transition Period completes on December 31st this year, has now been revealed.

The UK has been an active Member of the EIB since we joined the

European Economic Community in 1973, but despite the UK leaving the Transition Period in a two months’ time – supposedly releasing us from the remaining legal responsibilities to the Bank – this relationship will not conclude this year. The Withdrawal Agreement maintains the UK will be tied to the Bank’s loan repayment system – until 2040!

This means we will remain liable for investment projects the EIB has previously rolled out and has continued to roll out this year. This is a liability which could reach up to £160 billion over the next two decades. What’s more, the majority of these investments

and loans are related to economically troubled countries. As a result, there is a very real possibility these countries will default on their loans – leaving us very susceptible to excruciating liability payments.

Some of the projects which have been financed this year by the EIB – and which we remain liable for – include €125 million to Greece (one of the most economically troubled European nations, with high levels of debt and unemployment), towards a power plant.”

Source:

*First reported by Brexit Watch*

## The UK farming industry

The table opposite shows the net proportion of agriculture supplied by UK farmers. This was compiled by the UK Department for the Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) in the UK for 2019.

From this table we can see areas that the UK farming industry can improve on in terms of production, some easier than others.

The question it raises is why are our politicians making such a song and dance about food shortages after Brexit? They are always looking on the down side and not on the opportunity it creates for sat the entrepreneur farmers.

The pro-EU lobby will continue to push for the UK to return to membership of the EU long after Brexit, they must be ignored.

	%	%	%
Commodities	2017	2018	2019
Chicken	90	89	91
Beef	83	80	86
Lamb	101	100	109
Pork	61	62	66
Milk	106	106	106
Eggs	86	89	93
Fruit	16	17	16
Potatoes	73	67	68
Vegetables	57	54	53
Sugar (refined)	64	65	61
Linseed	116	121	73
Oilseed Rape	91	97	86
Oats	97	100	107
Barley	115	113	127
Wheat	92	86	99
All Cereals	91	85	96

## Interest in EU fading

The EU published a very large report covering thousands of pages on enlargement on the 6th October has shown that most countries no longer see joining the EU as important. The delayed report was originally due in May. Only North Macedonia and Montenegro are apparently showing any interest.

This report has for many years been held up by the EU as what they termed ‘progress’ towards enlargement, a goal the EU has always put forward as a

necessity.

However, countries no longer see EU membership as an important requirement, could it be that the reality of what membership really means and the direct consequences for democracy plus the rules and regulations placed upon members are to blame? Added to this will be the increased prices for consumers due to EU membership.

This report was carried by the new commission overseen by Hungarian commissioner Olivér Várhelyi, this is

the same person whose close ties to the Orbán government has caused concern among many.

The report extensive as it is, does not attempt to explain the lack of countries looking for membership but appears to continue with its believe that EU membership is a must for all countries no matter what the cost.

What is also interesting is how the main stream media who normally make a song and dance about EU enlargement have mainly ignored it.

# LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: [euofacts@junepress.com](mailto:euofacts@junepress.com)

## Withdrawal Agreement

Dear Sir,

Naming it an EU Withdrawal Agreement (WA) was a mistake. This so-called agreement only makes sure that the UK will for years to come be subjected to EU control.

They should have called it the "Capitulation Agreement", the first WA agreed by Theresa May was an act of treachery against the UK voters they voted in the referendum in 2016 to leave the EU. Boris Johnson then only managed to make rushed minor changes to this WA before he agreed to it, no wonder the EU were pleased.

The EU is in a win win situation as they maintain control over large parts of the UK economy as well as receiving approx. £39 billion from the UK tax-payers. Luckily for the EU the House of Lords remains pro-EU and therefore supports making the UK effectively a province of the EU.

MARANDA MARTIN  
Wiltshire

## Gibraltar

Dear Sir,

The fate of Gibraltar will soon become the next problem for the UK. Spain will call again for sovereignty of Gibraltar regardless of the democratic wishes of its residents. The EU will totally support this request as a further punishment for the UK leaving the EU.

Will our politicians surrender? In light of recent activities one would not be surprised.

SARAH SMITH  
Manchester

## Food prices

Dear Sir,

The fear merchants are at it again, making great noises about possible increase in food prices after Brexit. Many at the time of us joining were making noises about food

becoming cheaper, that has history has taught us, was clearly wrong.

For all those under 40 years of age they have no knowledge of the hike in consumer prices created when the UK joined the Common Market. Many at that time were making noises about food becoming cheaper. They also are unaware of the devastation caused to the UK fishing industry by Edward Heath's (UK prime minister at the time) surrender of the fishing industry as a price for membership.

In respect of the cost of food it appears that the EU considers that we will not change our buying habits after Brexit, when world market food prices are often cheaper outside the EU. The EU's fortress mentality has kept food prices high.

SAMANTHA DAVIS  
London

## UK contracts

Dear Sir,

I wish to indorse the letter "UK contracts and the environment" by Thelma Mathews, in the October issue of *euofacts*.

For many years now we have been allowing large commercial contracts to be placed in EU countries due to our membership of the EU. The true cost to the UK exchequer has never been taken into consideration when placing these orders. Time for action!

JOHN JARVIS  
Yorkshire

## Immigration

Dear Sir,

The problem of illegal immigration to the UK will not cease even if we do actually leave the control of the EU bureaucrats.

One remedy for the UK would be to make rescuing at sea, especially the English channel (Used by those fleeing the EU) not available for those who deliberately set sail from any country

in order to illegally enter another. Rescue at sea was originally based on the need for saving lives when accidents happened.

Further unskilled workers entering the country only adds to the problems for the workers that are already here. The increase in unskilled workers will mean that wages for this sector will remain low due to over supply. Often immigrants live in cramped conditions with large household numbers, this means they can work for slightly less as their overheads per capita are lower.

The UK is already an over populated country with huge financial problems for the lower paid workers. These workers often require income support from the government in order to pay for their accommodation and in many cases food for their children.

Increasing the welfare state and the basic wage for workers has created a larger desire for migrants to come to the UK. Its time for priority to be given to UK nationals and those legal immigrants before spending tax-payers money on those illegally try to enter the UK. Furthermore, the coronavirus has also shown the dangers of uncontrolled access to the UK.

SIMON RICHARDS  
Bradford

## Buy UK products

Dear Sir,

From the 1st January 2021 the government should be promoting the purchase of UK goods in shops. The first thing that should be done is to make sure that all shops label clearly UK produce in order to help the UK manufacturing and farming industries.

Furthermore, should the EU create a problem for exporters by holding up goods in transit, the UK should reciprocate by implementing closer checks on EU goods entering the UK. This would be in line with the EU's so-called level playing field.

DAVID MILNER  
Derby

# MEETINGS

**This year as all large group meetings are not possible due to the coronavirus, it is anticipated that all future meetings will be virtual and available on line.**

**Gresham College**  
020 7831 0575

Wednesday **18th November**, 6.00 pm

*"Fatal Months: Auschwitz and the End of the Second World War"*

**Nikolaus Wachsmann**, *Birkbeck, University of London*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/auschwitz-ww2

Registration required at:

www.gresham.ac.uk

Tuesday **24th November**, 6.00 pm

*"Data: The Past, the Present and the Future"*

**Richard Harvey**, *IT Livery Company Professor of IT*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/data-future

Registration at: www.gresham.ac.uk

Tuesday **1st December**, 6.00 pm

*"Seeing China Through Its Media"*

**Hug de Burgh**, *University of Westminster*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/china-media

Registration at: www.gresham.ac.uk

Wednesday **9th December** 6.00 pm

*"Christmas Lies and Legends"*

**Judith Flanders**

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/christmas-legends

Registration at: www.gresham.ac.uk

## FREE Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of UK-EU relations we may be able to advertise the event without charge.

**eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175**

or

**Email: eurofacts@junepress.com**

## USEFUL WEB SITES Continued

**United Kingdom Independence Party**

www.ukip.org

**Veterans For Britain**

http://www.veteransforbritain.uk

## DIARY OF EVENTS

UK budget November  
currently appears cancelled  
due to covid-19

EU open ended date December  
for completion of  
UK trade talks

Current date for 31st December  
completion of EU/UK  
Transition Deal  
and exit from the EU

2021

Portugal takes over 1st January  
EU Council Presidency

Slovenia takes over 1st July  
EU Council Presidency

2022

France takes over 1st January  
EU Council Presidency

Czech Republic takes 1st July  
over EU Council Presidency

2023

Sweden takes over 1st January  
EU Council Presidency

Spain takes over 1st July  
EU Council Presidency

2024

Belgium takes over 1st January  
EU Council Presidency

## USEFUL WEB SITES

**Brexit Party**

www.thebrexitparty.org

**Brexit Watch**

www.brexit-watch.org

**Briefings For Freedom**

www.briefingsforfreedom.co.uk

**British Future**

www.britishfuture.org

**British Weights & Measures Assoc.**

www.bwmaonline.com

**Bruges Group**

www.brugesgroup.com

**Campaign Against Euro-Federalism**

www.caef.org.uk

**Campaign for an Independent Britain**

www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk

**Democracy Movement**

www.democracymovement.org.uk

**EU Observer**

www.euobserver.com

**EU Truth**

www.eutruth.org.uk

**European Commission (London)**

www.cec.org.uk

**European Foundation**

www.europeanfoundation.org

**Fishing For Leave**

www.ffl.org.uk

**Freedom Association**

www.tfa.net

**Freenations**

www.freenations.net

**Futurus**

www.futurus-thinktank.com

**Get Britain Out**

www.getbritainout.org

**Global Britain**

www.globalbritain.co.uk

**Global Vision**

www.global-vision.net

**GrassRootsOut**

www.grassrootsout.co.uk

**June Press (Publications)**

www.junepress.com

**Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign**

www.eurosafeguards.com

**Leave means leave**

www.leavemeansleave.eu

**Leave.eu**

www.Leave.eu

**New Alliance**

www.newalliance.org.uk

**Policy Exchange**

www.policyexchange.org.uk

**Statewatch**

www.statewatch.org

**The Foundation for Independence**

www.foundationforindependence.com

**The Taxpayers' Alliance**

www.taxpayersalliance.com

## Special Offers

### Costly Affairs In British Foreign Policy

The advantages of foresight  
by *Christopher Hoskin*.  
**£3.00 - Pamphlet 2018 - 23 pp**  
Why you do not need to go to war or take hostile action against any country whose regime you dislike  
**(NOW ONLY £1.50)**

### The Trojan Hearse

by *J. Brian Heywood*.  
**£9.99 - Pbk 2003 - 330 pp.**  
A work of fiction - or is it?  
Raises a multitude of awkward questions and provides some very plausible but worrying answers.  
**(NOW ONLY £5)**

### Plan B For Europe

Edited by *Dr Lee Rotherham*.  
**£7.00 - Pamphlet 2005 - 72 pp**  
A collection of key Eurocritical papers and speeches that mark the path to an alternative Treaty for Europe.  
**(NOW ONLY £4)**

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK Only)**

**Tel: 08456 120 175**

### Seizing the moment

by *John Ashworth*. **£4.00**  
The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit with the restoration of the 200nm/midline resources zone.

### The Democratic Imperative

by *Robert Corfe*. **£12.99**  
Why democracy is only possible in a nation state.

### A Doomed Marriage

Why Britain Should Leave the EU  
by *Daniel Hannan*. **£8.99**  
Without EU membership, the UK can become the most successful nation.

### The Betrayal of British Industry

by *J. Brian Heywood*. **£3.00**  
How government has failed to protect the UK industrial sector from foreign companies, putting at risk the long-term economic prosperity of the UK.

### A Challenge To The Pseudo-Liberals

Time For A Change Before It's Too Late  
by *Christopher Hoskin*. **£4.00**  
How Multiculturalism and Political Correctness now dominate UK politics without the electorate having ever being consulted and the threat to civil liberties that it exposes.

**Tel: 08456 120 175**

### The Euro's Battle for Survival

Entering the Red Zone  
by *Bob Lyddon*. **£5.00**  
Why the eurozone and its policies are facing a further financial crisis.

**Moralitis** A Cultural Virus  
by *Robert Oulds & Niall McCrae*. **£9.99**  
How tradition and common sense have been marginalised by an illiberal elite, whose supposedly progressive ideology has degenerated into a collective malady.

### Corbyn's Britain

Land of the Superwoke:  
A Travel Guide to Corbyn's Britain  
by *Lee Rotherham*. **£13.99**  
With a foreword by Jacob Rees-Mogg MP, a glimpse into the past and possible future of a Hard Left Government.

### Escape From Brussels

Memoirs of a Freedom Fighter and the Friends He made on the Way  
by *Hugh Williams*. **£10.00**  
How and why he fought, names and organizations of those that helped.

**UK - PLEASE ADD 10% P&P Send payment to**

**JUNE PRESS LTD, PO BOX 119 TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Email: [info@junepress.com](mailto:info@junepress.com)  
[www.junepress.com](http://www.junepress.com)

## eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

### RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20
Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.	
Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.	

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.  
I enclose my annual payment of £.....  
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119  
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name .....

Address .....

.....

.....

Postcode .....

Date .....

*Please print clearly in capital letters*

### FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

### AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	<a href="http://www.conservativesforbritain.org">www.conservativesforbritain.org</a>
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

### CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	<a href="http://www.britishfuture.org">www.britishfuture.org</a>
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	<a href="http://www.globalbritain.org">www.globalbritain.org</a>
Global Vision	<a href="http://www.global-vision.net">www.global-vision.net</a>
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

### POLITICAL PARTIES

Brexit Party	<a href="http://www.thebrexitparty.org">www.thebrexitparty.org</a>
Nigel Farage MEP	
Conservative	020 7222 9000
Boris Johnson MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Jonathan Bartley and Sian Berry	
Labour	020 7783 1000
Sir Keir Starmer MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Sir Ed Davey	
UK Independence Party	020 3476 9564
Freddy Vacha	

ISSN 1361-4134



9 771361 413006