

A UKIP view of the Brexit deal

Neil Hamilton

In an article for UKIP Neil Hamilton expressed the wishes of many UKIP members when he wrote his views on the EU Brexit deal entitled, 'This Brexit deal is better than remaining, but No Deal would have been best for Britain'.

"A deal has been deal has been agreed and we are finally over the line. I am pleased that we are no longer subservient to the EU after 47 long years. But, Boris's deal - though obviously a better outcome than remaining - is far from perfect.

First, I must congratulate the Prime Minister on some significant victories. Continued tariff and quota-free trade brings a great amount of immediate certainty to businesses. Second, freeing the UK from the palsied grip of the European Court of Justice is very much something to be celebrated. Third, the significant and lucrative agreements on the future of financial and legal services are very welcome.

However, these all could have been achieved if we had left with UKIP's preferred option of No Deal. I understand the Prime Minister has a lot on his plate, but I'm afraid, just like his last "oven-ready deal", this one is tepid and needs more cooking.

Conspicuously, this deal was released on Christmas Eve - a notoriously good day for spin doctors to try to bury bad news.

Just as Heath sacrificed the UK fishing industry to get us into the EEC in 1973, Boris has betrayed it now and treated it as an expendable battalion in the greater battle for a half-decent trade agreement with Brussels. Our coastal communities will be unable to

flourish for another five and a half years, with Frau von der Leyen gloating that the EU has secured "strong tools to incentivise" the UK to continue allowing their trawlers into British waters after 2026.

The "bribe" (as 'Fishing for Leave' put it) of a £100m funding package is nothing more than a consolation effort. British fishermen know that they are being shafted out of the billions that could be made if we opted now to reclaim 100% of our fishing grounds. It is a scandal that Welsh fishermen were allowed to land only of 10% of the 83,000 tons of fish caught in Welsh waters last year. We must correct this injustice.

No wonder 'Fishing for Leave' have called this a "pretty dismal deal". The Prime Minister has sacrificed the fishing communities, granting free access to our waters during the 5.5 year transition. This will see foreign vessels allowed to fish up to 6 miles from the coast. We have also extraordinarily committed 1/3 of our fishing stocks to the EU thereafter.

Make no mistake; this is not a good deal for our left-behind coastal communities. They were promised a Brexit that would revive them but have been thoroughly let down.

The theatrics of the Brexit negotiation and Tory HQ's euphoric, grandiloquent spin on this deal will fool only those who want to be fooled. Examine the fine print and you'll see that, whilst better than remaining, there is plenty of scope to return us to the European project through the back door.

The Brexit deal establishes a

'Partnership Council' made up of UK and EU representatives. Alongside this, it has spawned of a raft of specialised committees. The document is also scattered with reviews after four years on many aspects of the agreement. We must be sure that this Council cannot abuse the reviews as an opportunity to return us to EU control.

I had hoped that we'd cut ourselves free from rule by bureaucrats.

In a spectacular Tory U-turn, Boris has committed the UK fully to the European Convention on Human Rights. Strasbourg judges will retain the power to strike down British Acts of Parliament. So don't expect a stop to the rubber dinghies flooding across the Channel or an end to violent foreign criminals using legal loopholes to avoid deportation.

This is a devastating blow for those who, like me, advocate a British Bill of Rights to replace the Human Rights Act so we can truly get a handle on illegal immigration.

Also, let's not forget that unresolved questions still remain in Northern Ireland as well as Gibraltar and the Falkland Islands.

Once again, today's Conservative politicians demonstrate their lack of the creativity and ingenuity that once made their party great. This aversion to any sort of calculated risk explains why this Government can never put the Great back into Britain.

Radical, unique thinking is what's required to make Brexit the great success it can be. Sadly, this Government will miss many opportunities Brexit will bring."

UK Fisherman lose out again

Following the deal agreed with the EU over fishing in UK waters, yet again just as when we joined the EU 47 years ago the UK fishing industry has become the pawn in the deal.

Regaining control over UK waters was a key part of the Leave campaign in 2016.

Now that the new UK/EU agreement - on trade and so much else - has come into force, what does it mean for fishing?

The deal basically is this:

- * EU boats will continue to fish in UK waters for some years to come.

- * But UK fishing boats will get a greater share of the fish from UK waters.

- * That shift in the share will be phased in between 2021 and 2026, with most of the quota transferred in 2021

- * After that, there'll be annual negotiations to decide how the catch is shared out between the UK and EU.

- * The UK would have the right to completely exclude EU boats after 2026.

- * But the EU could respond with

taxes on exports of British fish to the EU or by denying UK boats access to EU waters.

The deal runs to more than 1,200 pages, with a whole section and several annexes 'Dedicated to Fisheries'.

They have agreed that 25% of EU boats' fishing rights in UK waters will be transferred to the UK fishing fleet over a period of five years.

This is known as the "adjustment period", giving EU fleets time to get used to the new arrangements. The EU wanted it to be longer, the UK wanted it to be shorter - it looks like they've met somewhere in the middle, with an end date of 30 June 2026.

Under plans outlined in the deal, EU fishing quota in UK waters will be reduced by 15% in the first year and 2.5 percentage points each year after.

By 2026, it's estimated that UK boats will have access to an extra £145m of fishing quota every year. In 2019, British vessels caught 502,000 tonnes of fish, worth around £850m, inside UK waters.

The document also sets out details

of how each species of fish will be shared out between the UK and the EU during the transition.

The UK fleet can expect increases in quota for 57 out of the 90 types of fish caught in UK waters every year.

But quota shares for some species like Channel cod, of which EU boats (mainly from France) catch more than 90% each year, will remain unchanged.

What happens after 2026?

After the end of the adjustment period in June 2026, there will be annual talks to set the amount EU fishing boats can catch in UK waters (and vice versa).

At that point, the UK has the right to completely withdraw EU boats' access to UK waters. But the EU could then suspend access to its waters for UK boats or impose tariffs (taxes) on fish exports from the UK to the EU.

Tariffs could even be extended to other goods, but it would have to be in proportion to the economic impact of the fishing breach.

There will be an arbitration system to try to resolve fishing disputes.

Update on the Brexit Party

Extract of Nigel Farage's policy with his replacement of the Brexit Party with a name change to the Reform Party.

- * With your support, we have achieved a lot since we launched in April last year. We rescued Brexit and in so doing, restored some confidence in democracy in the UK.

- * Boris Johnson will try to sell any deal as a great deal, whereas we know that No Deal is always better than a bad deal.

- * It is now time though to apply our

energy and resources to the other pressing issues facing the nation. That is why we have applied to the Electoral Commission to rename the party. We want to be known as the party of Reform. The proposed name reflects the ambition: Reform UK.

- * ... This year has reinforced the need to take on the bloated institutions and major vested interests. The House of Lords, the BBC, the way we vote, law and order, immigration, to name but a few. The Prime Minister making his own brother a peer takes cronyism

to a whole new level.

- * Please support us as we campaign in many areas for Reform, by renewing as a registered supporter. There is much to be done. We need to get ready for elections next year. We need your help.

[Following receipt of the name change application, The Electoral Commission has now accepted this name change to the Reform Party and Boris has accepted a Trade Deal rather than a no-deal. - Editor.]

Gibraltar trapped by the EU

Spain reached a deal with the UK to maintain free movement to and from Gibraltar from the 1st January 2021.

To avoid a hard border, Gibraltar has

joined the Schengen zone and will follow other EU rules, while remaining a British Overseas Territory.

The deal was announced by the Spanish Foreign Minister Arancha

Gonzalez, just hours before the UK exited the EU.

The Rock voted to remain in the UK in 2016 and about 15,000 Spanish workers go there daily.

Omissions from the Brexit Deal

Lee Rotherham

The immediate standout elements are those which are not in the text. The Services section has been left paddling pool depth, though to be fair it has never formed the deep end, even within the EU treaties. Alarming, the Northern Ireland Protocol still stands unfixed, save for some permissions allowing relabelling of goods from Britain to take place in designated warehouses. As the Protocol was meant to be only a backstop this remains a defeat, though one locked in by Barnier's self-interested insistence on sequencing and the rolling incoherences of the May team's strategy.

Many other omissions, however, are deliberate. Overall, the texture of the treaty largely feels like an FTA. Lots of the elements could have been pulled from an EU bilateral that a country like Malaysia or the US might have signed. Indeed, the cynic is left wondering if the plug had been pulled in, say, October, how many of these sections would have been cobbled together as mini-deals. I suspect a lot, but that's a secret for the EU's archives in Florence and future memoirs of retired EU negotiators to ultimately yield.

There is an element of elasticity in some of the more contentious areas. Contrary to what was being spun by the likes of Dennis MacShane, the Human Rights clauses do allow reform. The text recognises the importance of the principles behind the European Convention on Human Rights, but does not require the UK to retain the calamitous Human Rights Act 1998. It merely states that if the HRA is removed there should be some other domestic framework to replace it – which might well be the mooted 'Charter of Rights and Obligations'.

The Level Playing Field similarly includes a degree of suppleness. The UK can indeed go out and identify red tape, whether to do with environmental

costs or safety or business. It can fix these, so long as it is guided by the basic international standards (rather than more prescriptive EU ones). If the changes that follow mean that a British business gets a noticeable competitive advantage, then the Commission can apply redress. It still has to prove its case, the penalty can't be escalatory but has to be of equivalent value, and a review panel could find it in the wrong.

Collectively this provides a mechanism that allows the UK to diverge from existing EU red tape where rules are more of a burden than an advantage, and particularly where non-EU commerce and universally-applied costs are concerned. Importantly, it does so in a way that does not deter the UK from pursuing reforms, since any EU retaliation is both uncertain and clearly bounded.

Better yet, I would also suggest that the Commission may find it politically embarrassing at times to challenge regulatory diversion, because it would have to demonstrate the extent to which its own legislation carries considerable red tape costs. Furthermore, I suspect this will encourage the EU side to reflect on regulatory burdens, and might encourage economic liberals within the EU institutions to pursue proper cost-benefit analysis of proposed laws. It could even rejuvenate the Commission's wheezy regulatory review audit. If so, it would be a remarkable parting gift to the reformers within the EU27 if it did turn out this way. However, that's an optimistic take — Brussels rarely misses the opportunity to miss an opportunity.

More of a concern is the retention of the Precautionary Principle. This is not so much of an issue on the UK side, but it does raise the prospect of the Commission applying it as a protectionist tool. The problem is that

the treaty constrains challenges when it is used – by doing so it effectively assumes that applying the precautionary principle is bound to be right. This creates a loophole which could be abused to obstruct trade. It may also prove a strategic disincentive to the UK exploiting emerging biotechnologies. Direct retaliation becomes difficult because any response using the same excuse could be more easily identified as an act of bad faith.

The hidden architecture

Another area of real concern we can point to is the size and complexity of the architecture underpinning the agreement. There will be no fewer than 19 new committees and four working groups beavering away behind the scenes, all in the mould of Brussels comitology. Here the flexibility is double-edged. These committees can provide fixes to gaps that people overwhelmingly want to plug, like finding a replacement system to EHIC (a structure that the Spanish badly need to more easily recoup costs of treating UK pensioners abroad). The problem arises when they go tonto, as they inevitably over time will, signing up to programmes with political objectives aiming at ever closer union.

This risk is compounded by the fact that UK money is already from 2021 going into supporting participation, though the figure is expressed as a percentage ('0.5% rising to 3%') so the extent of our commitment is presently unclear. However, the combination of a largely blank sheet of programmes, plus money that is now there and 'needs to be spent', risks generating a civil service cadre incentivised to keep rebuilding links to Brussels.

Source:
www.briefingsforbritain.co.uk

China now largest destination for FDI

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in China up by 4% last year, making it the world leader replacing the USA.

Brexit and the Lords

Baroness Fox

Below Baroness Fox spells out the Brexit Deal for the Brexit Watch Organisation.

“IT WAS A DAY I had long waited for – the final moment when Brexit could not be overturned – when an agreement with the EU would become an act of parliament. No going back. And I would be there, in the House of Lords – an extraordinary enough turn of events – physically in that very chamber that had done so much to thwart Brexit.

Now was my chance to speak at a historic debate. Except it wasn't to be. Even the paltry three minutes I had been allocated as speaking time at the historic debate was snatched from me at the last moment. I, along with about another 30 peers, was culled from the speakers' list. The whips' office was not interested in my pleading or the fact that I was the only former Brexit Party representative in the chamber. It was treated as a merely administrative, pragmatic solution to an issue of too many speakers applying for slots. I remain to be convinced there wasn't a tad of political malice in the decision (maybe I would cause trouble on the Government's big day) but I endured the loss (after some private tears of frustration I confess) and instead enjoyed the moment vicariously, hear, hearing loudly when at least Kate Hoey was allowed her say. She didn't let Leavers down and made an excellent speech, packing-in loads during her own three minutes. She and I stayed in the House until the bitter end that day, and waited for royal ascent to be given. Phew. Done at last.

Irritated that I was forced to watch from the side-lines, some compensation was offered by the assurances from defensive Tory front-benchers that at a specially convened debate on January 7th, all those denied speaking time on December 30th would have more time to speak. Guess what – ever true to their word (!!!) at that debate all backbench speakers were all given a mere TWO minutes

each. Talk about showing contempt for the importance of the issue. I did what I could with the measly time allocated.

A few observations about the debates on both days: the Remain Alliance in the Lords is absolutely NOT over losing, and still do not have any clue as to why anyone voted Leave in the first place, still citing £350 million on the side of the bus, the default assumption that people voted for sunny uplands and financial gain rather than principle and consistently mistaking demands for national sovereignty as Little Englander sentiment. The fact the vast majority treated the loss of the ERASMUS scheme for students as a tragedy was telling, as if the ending of one costly if worthy initiative used by a minority of UK students should be enough to make millions regret leaving an undemocratic institution.

For what it's worth, I had always been a fan of ERASMUS until I went to Brussels as an MEP. As a member of the CULT Committee that administered it, I continually heard how ERASMUS was discussed as an indispensable tool in inculcating values of EU citizenship values in the young. In other words it was openly discussed as a propaganda tool, instrumentalising educational opportunity to embed EU federalism in European students' minds.

In the second debate, it was often Remainers who raised the issue of a shoddy deal for fishing to argue why the agreement should never have been signed. They are right the fishing industry was sold out, quotas negotiated far short of what was promised, but the hypocrisy of those who would have been happy to remain within the EU's fisheries policy suddenly discovering empathy for coastal communities was galling. Similarly, the plight of the Union – so jeopardised by separate arrangements for NI – was raised by many. However, those same peers argued vehemently against Part 5 of

the Internal Markets Bill, which would have at least allowed some leeway to untangle the mess of the Northern Ireland Protocol (more than any feasible tweaking of a trade deal), meant their crocodile tears and hectoring rang hollow.

Of course for Leave voters, the issues of Northern Ireland, fisheries and indeed far more in the agreement need to be resolved, and vigilance is urgently needed to make sure Brexit is not undermined by trade arrangements. But for now, I am grateful that Brexit-Watch has given me a chance to share what I would have said on December 30th – an exclusive version of the three-minute speech I never made:

‘My Lords, I hate that we are being bumped into a vote with no time for scrutiny. We get 3 minutes each in this debate – not even a minute for each long year of waiting for this moment.

Personally, I think the Government should have shown courage, and walked away from the EU's preposterous demands and made a clean break.

Already it's clear: there are far too many murky traps lurking in the detail. And who can blame the DUP and SDLP for voting against an Agreement that allows Northern Ireland to be partitioned out of the UK's jurisdiction.

However, credit to the Nobel Lord Frost for at last breaking the invidious political grip of the European Court of Justice.

But: we need reassurance. What has the UK signed up to, for example, in relation to programmes such as Horizon which seems to be regulated by EU judges? And if the Deal is to be interpreted by a complicated governance structure: who exactly will staff that Joint Partnership Council and its myriad specialised committees? Can we at least be assured all their proceedings will be open to public scrutiny and not conducted behind closed doors?

Brexit and the Lords

These are such important questions YET we're told there's no time to answer. So, who can blame Brexit voters, by now used to being sold out, from being suspicious and asking - is this BRINO, another betrayal?

There are NO guarantees but looking at the bigger picture, the Agreement - even in the small print - seems to have prioritised sovereignty even over trade - so I will reluctantly vote for it.

This seems especially important IN THIS PLACE, where so many have sneered at sovereignty since 2016. National sovereignty has been maligned as parochial, xenophobic, nostalgic nationalism - rather than the foundational basis for democratic accountability. Worse, in dismissing popular sovereignty as "populism", too many here have revealed a snobbish, elitist disdain for the demos itself.

In fact if it wasn't for populist democratic perseverance, there wouldn't even be a trade deal to consider. Full credit to Nigel Farage and the Brexit Party for offering voters a rescue vehicle. BECAUSE the only reason that Brexit is now a reality is due to those millions who

determinedly used the ballot box time and again, piling the pressure on politicians to finally honour the referendum result.

Voters succeeded against all odds. They were up against the highest echelons of technocratic establishment power - and many IN THIS PLACE - who really believed they had the right to overturn 17.4 million votes. Who - EVEN NOW - lack the imagination to see life beyond Brussels OR to see Brexit beyond the narrow prism of a GDP spreadsheet.

I therefore welcome that this Deal signals the anti-democrats have lost (on Brexit at least) and see it as proof that a democratic movement really can change the course of history.

Brexit was never a destination, but the start of bringing democratic accountability home. Now that's a reality - THERE'S NO ESCAPE. Indeed, this House may feel the consequence of a mood keen to remove unelected law-making. GOOD. Meanwhile, no-one can now hide behind blaming the EU for laws which will now be made here, including so many Henry VIII powers in this Bill, which are an anathema to

parliamentary sovereignty.

Boris Johnston will need to look directly into red wall voters' eyes, face down coastal communities, and own all decisions taken, including the unsavoury parts of this DEAL. Parliament will need to ANSWER TO fishing communities and my former colleague June Mummery and explain WHY - while the UK may have regained control of its waters - it bartered away so much in negotiations.

YES, this Deal has huge limitations. Nevertheless, it's the first democratic step towards CHANGING POLITICS FOR GOOD. In Lockdown Britain, that's an enormous challenge; we need every ounce of freedom, and democratic spirit to rebuild society. But if UK CITIZENS show the same steely courage that they mustered to escape the EU's clutches, anything is possible.

Source: www.brexitwatch.org

Baroness Fox of Buckley is a former Brexit Party MEP (2019 - 2020); director of the Academy of Ideas and its think tank, Institute of Ideas; and a well known writer, broadcaster and author.

EU version of financial stability

The EU's answer to the financial problem with the European Stability Mechanism is simple, just upgrade the system. In other words give it more money! So much for a stability mechanism

The Eurozone finance ministers agreed, on Monday 30th November, to upgrade the currency bloc's bailout fund. This will allow it to help out (bailout) failing banks, the system will be ratified next year.

According to the Irish Finance Minister Paschal Donohoe, who chairs the meetings of the so-called 'Eurogroup', "The [bank] backstop is a last resort. It's a further safety net at our disposal should we need it."

Policing problems in Belgian

Police in Belgian are under scrutiny following the death in police custody of Ibrahima B., a 23 year old Belgian on Saturday 9th January this year, after a riot broke out.

The people's riot took place on

Wednesday the 13th Brussels-North station where it was reported that 500 people were involved.

The report stated that King Philippe's car was hit by stones, a police station was set on fire, and

streets were vandalised.

According to the Belgian interior minister Annelies Verlinden, the violence was 'unacceptable' and has promised to investigate the alleged police 'misconduct'.

G7 summit

The upcoming G7 economic summit is scheduled to be held during June at Cabis Bay in Cornwall.

The seven members are Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, UK and USA.

This will be the first UK visit by Joe Biden the new president of the United States of America.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: euofacts@junepress.com

31st December statement

Dear Sir,

A lot of water has passed under Westminster bridge since I wrote personally to my 335 Parliamentary colleagues in May 1992 pointing out the most alarming aspects of the Maastricht Treaty.

Today, when at 11 pm - midnight in 'the heart of darkness' - we are finally (and I believe, irrevocably) out of the European Union I should be feeling euphoric but, like Nigel Farage, my feelings are simply those of relief that the nightmare we have endured for the best part of 50 years is finally ended.

Like so many others I would have preferred 'No Deal', but given the hand that Boris was dealt and given that 'politics is the art of the possible' I think that what we have got is better than we could possibly have hoped for whilst our destiny was in the hands of the ineffable Mrs May, or indeed her predecessor.

The Trade & Cooperation Treaty is far from perfect and as far as Fisheries, Northern Ireland and the future of Financial Services are concerned leaves a great deal to be desired.

The *Spectator* magazine has described the treaty as 'needlessly long and turgid in its prose: this document was not drafted by people who think the law should be understood by all' - quite so!

Now in my 85th year I may not live to see the full realisation of the potential that this new chapter in our island history offers, but, at least, from this moment onwards we will know precisely where the buck stops and parliamentarians will, perforce, have to stop trying to pass it back to Brussels!

The real purpose of this letter is to say thank you to all of you who have befriended and supported me during what at times has been a lonely battle against the forces of totalitarianism and to say how important that has been in boosting my morale and encouraging

me never to surrender!

In common with other prominent eurosceptics I have been called a 'bastard', a 'Little Englander', a 'headbanger' and even an 'extremist' but, as the saying goes, he who laughs last, laughs longest and what our political opponents described as **extreme** is now, thankfully, **mainstream** - and long may that last!

I genuinely consider that at 2300 this evening it will be entirely appropriate to raise a glass and toast the restoration of Freedom & Democracy for which we have all in our various ways worked so hard.

I take this opportunity of also sending you my very Best Wishes for a Happy & Healthy New Year.

CHRISTOPHER GILL
Wales

SNP party priorities!

Dear Sir,

Having read the letter regarding Scottish Independence in *euofacts* 18th December, I would like to add the following.

While the Covid crisis was raging in Scotland and the need for saving jobs, investing in schools and protecting the NHS, our First Minister had other priorities.

According to recently released information Ms Sturgeon and the SNP spent considerable effort with 25 letters and Emails over the last year to interfere with the UK Brexit negotiations.

Nicola Sturgeon (Scotland First Minister) had been pestering Ursula von der Leyen (President of the European Commission) and other EU officials in order to keep or allow Scotland to remain inside the EU.

It appears that SNP ministers have been holding discussions with EU officials and that Ms von der Leyen refused Ms Sturgeon's apparent request for a role in the negotiations.

Furthermore, it appears that the SNP had asked if Monsieur Barnier would engage in a photo shoot with Ms Sturgeon, 'for social media purposes'. Clearly an important issue for Ms Sturgeon.

Yet again her view of Independence is that Scotland should be a one in twenty-eight member of the EU instead of a one in four member of the UK.

In an Italian newspaper *Le Corriere* Ms Sturgeon expressed the wish that Scotland should, "join you again soon as an equal partner as we face the opportunities and challenges of the future together".

The term 'equal partner' leaves a lot to be considered especially given Scotland's position in Europe and its small population, if it rejoins it will be a rule taker with little influence on decisions taken at an EU level.

It now appears that the SNP will be using the May elections (if they go ahead) for a further push for Independence. Without my vote!

DAVID MCGREGOR
Edinburgh

EU dictatorship

Dear Sir,

We hear that the EU has warned it will tighten exports of Covid vaccine produced in the bloc, amid a row with AstraZeneca over a cut in planned supplies.

AstraZeneca had informed the EU that it was falling behind on its supply target because of production problems.

The supplies of vaccine will be lower, slowing down the EU's vaccination drive. The European Commission's answer, take it from the UK's supply, ordered before the EU's.

The result of this action is that the EU cannot be trusted not to interfere with contracts and businesses of companies in the EU or even the UK if it gets a chance.

DENNIS COLEMAN
Manchester

MEETINGS

This year as all large group meetings are not possible due to the coronavirus, it is anticipated that all future meetings will be virtual and available on line.

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Wednesday **10th February**, 6.00 pm

“Vaccination”

Chris Whitty, *Gresham Professor of Physics*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/vaccination
Registration required at:
www.gresham.ac.uk

Tuesday **16th February**, 6.00 pm

“Should We Inherit?”

Martin Daunton, *Visiting Professor of Economic History*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/inherit
Registration required at:
www.gresham.ac.uk

Tuesday **23rd February**, 1.00 pm

“Artificial Intelligence and Humour”

Yorick Wilks, *University of Sheffield*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/ai-humour
Registration required at:
www.gresham.ac.uk

Tuesday **2nd March** 6.00 pm

“Putting Wellbeing and Prosperity First”

Jacqueline McGlade, *Frank Jackson Professor of the Environment*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/wellbeing-prosperity
Registration at: www.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Thursday **4th March**, 6.00 pm

“Restraining Police Restraint”

Leslie Thomas, *Gresham Professor of Law*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/police-restraint
Registration required at:
www.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **16th March**, 6.00 pm

“The Mistakes CEO's Make”

Alex Edmans, *Mercers' School Professor of Business*

PUBLIC MEETING (ONLINE)

@gres.hm/ceo-mistakes
Registration required at:
www.gresham.ac.uk

FREE Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of UK-EU relations we may be able to advertise the event without charge.

eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175

or

Email: eurofacts@junepress.com

USEFUL WEB SITES Continued

The Taxpayers' Alliance

www.taxpayersalliance.com

United Kingdom Independence Party

www.ukip.org

Veterans For Britain

http://www.veteransforbritain.uk

DIARY OF EVENTS

UK budget 3rd March

Dutch Parliamentary elections 17th March

USEFUL WEB SITES

Brexit Party (Reform Party)

www.thebrexitparty.org

Brexit Watch

www.brexit-watch.org

Briefings For Freedom

www.briefingsforfreedom.co.uk

British Future

www.britishfuture.org

British Weights & Measures Assoc.

www.bwmaonline.com

Bruges Group

www.brugesgroup.com

Campaign Against Euro-Federalism

www.caef.org.uk

Campaign for an Independent Britain

www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk

Democracy Movement

www.democracymovement.org.uk

EU Observer

www.euobserver.com

EU Truth

www.eutruth.org.uk

European Commission (London)

www.cec.org.uk

European Foundation

www.europeanfoundation.org

Fishing For Leave

www.ffl.org.uk

Freedom Association

www.tfa.net

Freenations

www.freenations.net

Futurus

www.futurus-thinktank.com

Get Britain Out

www.getbritainout.org

Global Britain

www.globalbritain.co.uk

Global Vision

www.global-vision.net

GrassRootsOut

www.grassrootsout.co.uk

June Press (Publications)

www.junepress.com

Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign

www.eurosafeguards.com

Leave means leave

www.leavemeansleave.eu

Leave.eu

www.Leave.eu

New Alliance

www.newalliance.org.uk

Policy Exchange

www.policyexchange.org.uk

Statewatch

www.statewatch.org

The Foundation for Independence

www.foundationforindependence.com

The Red Cell (Think tank)

www.theredcell.co.uk

Special Offers

The European Question and the National Interest*by Jeremy Black***£16.99 - 2006 Hdbk - 149 pp**

A leading historian's interpretation of Britain's relations with the EEC/EC/EU.
(NOW ONLY £9)

A Democratic Europe: An Alternative to the EU*by Richard Body***£10.00 - Hdbk 2006 - 141 pp**

Sir Richard lays out the case for a truly democratic EU as opposed to an undemocratic super power.
(NOW ONLY £6)

Euroscepticism In Contemporary British Politics*by Anthony Forster***£18.99 - Pbk 2002 - 15 pp**

How Euroscepticism has been a bedrock issue of British Politics for 50 years
(NOW ONLY £12)

Anthony Fisher: Champion of Liberty*by Gerald Frost.***£20.00 - Hdbk 2002- 268 pp**

Fisher founded the IEA, which influenced public policy and the views of Reagan, Thatcher and others.
(NOW ONLY £10)

**Whip's Nightmare
Diary of a Maastricht Rebel***by Christopher Gill***£17.50 - 2003 - 242 pp**

A fascinating insight to how parliament pressures MPs to obey party directions.
(NOW ONLY £8)

A Life Mayhem Money and Unintentional Treason*by J. Brian Heywood***£9.99 - 2007 - 259 pp**

This novel shows how easy it is for good intentions to be used by anyone with ambitions for a world government.
(NOW ONLY £4)

Why Did Britain Take The Wrong Path?*by Christopher Hoskin***£9.99 - Pbk 2009 - 270 pp**

How and why the UK lost its way and ended up with a fear of self-government and gave up democracy.
(NOW ONLY £5)

Britain Held Hostage*by Lindsay Jenkin***£11.99 - Pbk 1998 - 339 pp**

The how and why of the EU explained and exposed.
(NOW ONLY £8)

The Missing Heart of Europe*by Thomas Kremer***£11.99 - Pbk 2004 254 pp**

Can nations survive in an integrated EU.
A European businessmen's view of the likely impact of the divergent EU national cultures.
(NOW ONLY £6)

Europe: A Concise Encyclopedia of the European Union*by Rodney Leach***£14.99 - Pbk 2004 - 249 pp**

A jargon free guide to the EU speak and its organisations.
(NOW ONLY £7)

A Nation is a Nation is a Nation*by John Murray***£7.00 - 1995 - 86 pp**

A gentle trot through the different cultures of Europe.
(NOW ONLY £3)

Plan B For Europe*Edited by Dr Lee Rotherham.***£7.00 - Pamphlet 2005 - 72 pp**

A collection of key Eurocritical papers and speeches that mark the path to an alternative Treaty for Europe.
(NOW ONLY £4)

All BOOKS (TO UK ONLY) PLUS 10% p&p from - www.junepress.com or - cheques details below
THE JUNE PRESS LTD, PO BOX 119, TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA Tel: 08456 120 175 Email: info@junepress.com

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.
Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	www.conservativesforbritain.org
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	www.britishfuture.org
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	www.globalbritain.org
Global Vision	www.global-vision.net
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Brexit (Reform) Party Nigel Farage	0800 414 8525
Conservative Boris Johnson MP	020 7222 9000
English Democrats Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	01277 896000
Green Party Jonathan Bartley and Sian Berry	020 7272 4474
Labour Sir Keir Starmer MP	020 7783 1000
Liberal Mr Rob Wheway	01562 68361
Liberal Democrats Sir Ed Davey	020 7222 7999
UK Independence Party Freddy Vacha	020 3476 9564

ISSN 1361-4134

