

China and the Commonwealth

Dr Radomir Tylecote & Henry Rossano

In November 2021 a Civitas discussion paper: China's military education and Commonwealth countries was released.

With Barbados becoming a republic and removing the Queen as head of state – a decision which, according to some sources, appears to have been influenced by China – interest in the extent and impacts of China's diplomatic reach in Commonwealth countries is growing.

This discussion paper describes China's expanding military training programmes for developing Commonwealth countries. It also examines how Beijing combines military training with ideological education to promote authoritarian governance, especially its 'Party-Army model' with the army subordinate to the ruling party. As leading researchers acknowledge, this is liable to be 'antithetical [to] multiparty democratic systems'.

Commonwealth officers are

undergoing military training in China in growing numbers.

This briefing goes on to highlight: growing military training cooperation with commonwealth countries.

'China has growing interests in the Commonwealth and military and other security activities are an increasingly important component of China's engagement. These efforts include training programmes aimed at future military and political leaders.

The authors provide emerging examples, including from Barbados, Cameroon, Namibia, South Africa, Rwanda, Tanzania, Guyana, Sri Lanka, Uganda and Kenya.

'An opportunity now exists for the UK and allies, including Commonwealth countries, to better understand and map these efforts. The UK should also consider how best to apply and rejuvenate shared Commonwealth military aid and education programmes.'

Military training institutions

China's foreign military training should be understood in the context of Beijing's growing diplomatic programmes for foreign elites in general, including building 'politico-military schools'.

'China has trained thousands of officers at middle and senior levels, as well as government officials, from over 100 countries over recent decades ...', and numbers are rising.

Training approaches

'China says its training programmes are intended to encourage friendly attitudes towards China and its forces among foreign officers...'

Some visiting officers previously interviewed have, 'expressed admiration for China and its development, sometimes criticising the West's attitude towards China, especially if this attitude is also seen to apply to their own countries'.

Source: civitas.org.uk

Tighter border controls

In a recent article by the IFacts4EU.org tighter UK border controls have been applied to EU Nationals since 1st January 2021

They say that the Home Office is believed to be applying a more thorough approach in questioning arrivals from the EU regarding the true intentions for their visit, including looking for visitors who are actually seeking work without the correct documentation or the right to do so.

While illegal beach landings

continue, new stats show firmer legal enforcement at official points of entry.

This is evidenced by a climb from 1,150 EU Nationals stopped at entry points in the first three quarters of 2020 to 12,515 in Jan-Sep 2021 – an increase of 988%. Indeed, the number stopped in the first quarter of Jan-Mar 2021 alone (3,294) was greater than the whole of 2020 (1,973).

The overwhelming majority of EU nationals stopped were Romanians (65% of the total), followed by

Bulgarians (10%) and Poles (7%).

Numbers being stopped are rising each quarter

The new data shows the number of European Union nationals stopped at UK Border Control points of entry climbed by a third to 5,266 in the third quarter of last year, compared to 3,955 the previous quarter. Following interview, the number of those being refused entry increased fourfold over the previous comparative period.

Continued on page 2

Tighter border controls

Continued from page 1

The term 'stopped' does not mean denial of entry but it does involve official questioning, generally in a separate room.

The Home Office said:

"Data on passengers initially stopped at the border count instances where an IS81 form has been issued. This enables a Border Force officer to temporarily stop someone at the border for further questioning, usually for up

to 24 hours, to determine whether they qualify for entry, or if they already hold leave, whether that leave should be cancelled.

"This will include individuals stopped for very short periods, and not all individuals will have been detained in a holding room. Some of those initially refused will subsequently be granted entry to the UK, others granted entry with immigration bail conditions, while others will be refused

entry."

Refusals

Figures for those refused entry altogether at points of entry are only available for the first two quarters of 2021, but these too show a significant increase against the previous year; rising from 1,547 in Q1-Q2 2020 to 6,411 Q1-Q2 2021 – 414% of 2020's figure.

Source: *Facts4EU.org*

Police impartiality

When we encounter the police, can we rely on them to apply the law impartially? To act without fear or favour has been seen as the essence of policing since the first modern police force was founded in 1829. In this study, David Green looks closely at the growing importance of police pressure groups based on the racial, religious or other identities of officers.

Green asks if these groups, which are now officially recognised as staff associations or staff networks, are compatible with police impartiality. They arguably seek to advance the careers of their own members at the expense of other officers, who are sometimes regarded as oppressors. And they seek to change policing policy to the advantage of their own identity group.

By reviewing the main identity-based staff associations, it is found

they all claim that under-representation of their group in the police or in the higher ranks is the result of discrimination, when there are numerous better explanations. Groups can also demand an interpretation of the law that benefits their own identity group, especially by defining innocent actions as 'hate crimes'. These recurring themes derive from a common source – American critical race theory (CRT) in which society is seen as divided into victim groups and their oppressors.

The author argues that the impartiality of the police service is threatened by a doctrine of identity politics in which staff are increasingly being encouraged to define themselves, not as people who deserve respect because of their ability and objectivity, but as members of an identity group – and especially

their race.

Green recommends that the government and police forces respond to the rise of identity based sectarianism within the police by halting the funding of those groups and using the funds saved to reduce crime.

The report concludes that the police are not there to represent anyone – their job is to uphold the law. And we must challenge claims that our race determines our ideas and our ability to be fair because we all share a common humanity in which fair treatment is owed to all regardless of race.

Source: *The full publication by David G. Green "Is Identity Politics Undermining Police Impartiality?" is available from civitas.org.uk Price £7.00*

Baltic States and Nato

Baltic States are seeking to host more Nato troops due to Russia's aggressive behaviour, Estonia's prime minister, Kaja Kallas, told *Reuters* on

the 12th January. "We are discussing with our allies to increase their presence here to act as a deterrent ... If you look at the map, the Baltic states

are a Nato peninsula and therefore we have our worries," she said. Nato currently has three multinational battalions in the region.

EU version of controlling CO2 levels in the shipping industry

The European Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) which forces polluters to pay for permits in order to continue polluting, and is due to apply to shipping from 2023 appears not to

cover 20% of the 130m tonnes of CO2 emitted annually by shipping in the European Union.

This warning according to the NGO group Transport & Environment is due

to a loophole in the ETS scheme.

Furthermore, the ETS scheme will not cover military vessels and ships under 5,000 gross tonnes in weight, that is surely a very large omission.

The real battle for Brexit

Derek Sterling

Many of those who voted to leave the EU have never fully understood why the government failed to correctly implement the decision of the 2016 Referendum, after reading this book you will have a better understanding of why.

This book starts with a tribute to Sir David Amess MP, then explains the route taken by Mark Francis to get into parliament and the Whips Office which he describes as “the most marvellous apprenticeship for learning how Parliament really works”.

Starting with the Lisbon Treaty referendum that never was never allowed, and the several hundred amendments to the treaty that Bill Cash MP produced to try and stop the Treaty going through as it stood.

He follows this with the “Keep the Pound” campaign and the Cameron, Clegg coalition, the 2015 election outcome. This resulted in the announcement by David Cameron that an In/Out Referendum would take place on the 23rd June 2016 in order to settle the question of Britain’s future

Spartan Victory
The Inside Story Of
The Battle For Brexit
by Rt. Hon. Mark Francis MP
Amazon
Pbk 464 pp 2021

Available from
Amazon
Price £12.99

ISBN 978-8-4847983-9-1

with Europe once and for all.

The remainder of this book examines the discussions and the people involved in the Referendum Battle, with some fascinating insights as to what was actually happening inside parliament and the motives of those involved.

Below I have included the blurb from the back of this book which so very accurately describes the internal struggle that took place in parliament during the Brexit debacle. Including the names of those organisations and people involved.

“Spartan Victory is a dynamic, insider account of the ‘Battle for Brexit’ in Parliament and the media, written by the MP who served as the Brexiteer’s de-facto ‘Chief Whip’, throughout the epic struggle to uphold the result of the 2016 Referendum. As such, it provides a unique perspective on arguably the most important event in British political history since the end of the Second World War.

This work incorporates much never before previously published material, including the highly secret Brexiteer Whipping Team - some of whom now serve in the current Cabinet - and who resisted the Government’s so-called EU ‘Withdrawal Agreement’ which was actually designed to do precisely the opposite.

This candid, sometimes humorous account explains what was really at stake during this gruelling contest, to uphold the British people’s decision to Leave the EU and how 28 Tory MPs, the so-called ‘Spartans’ held out until the bitter end, in what ultimately become a battle to uphold the democratic principle itself.”

Energy independence

The UK government’s race to be seen as environmentally friendly is risking severe damage to the UK’s economy in the short and long term.

Energy supplies are being put at risk as we will become more dependent on foreign energy. This is because there appears to be no foresight or cohesive plan, other than rapidly cutting out traditional fuel sources from the UK as quickly as possible, to meet climate targets.

During the summer the UK was dependent for gas supply on foreign and UK power stations. However, the government is still set on closing power stations in the UK, meaning that “we will simply import additional energy from abroad”.

Importing energy from abroad will result in the UK being reliant on

foreign powers – not just for physical energy resources, but also the means of getting this energy to the UK. Can we really say with confidence that countries like Russia – which provides so much of Europe’s gas energy – will not simply hike up the price or even reject our requests? We have already seen President Macron threaten to cut off electricity to parts of the UK and seize Covid-19 vaccines in an emergency scenario. Let us not forget that the UK would be relying on power cables which run through France and then under the English Channel!

The UK needs to be energy independent even if that means relying on fossil fuels for a little while longer so be it. We should not be closing domestic coal and gas power stations (causing unemployment in the

process), simply to import more fossil fuels from as far away as China and Australia at great expense?

New innovative technologies like Hydrogen and Nuclear Power – including pushing for Nuclear Fusion Reactors, which would revolutionise our future by providing a nearly limitless supply of ‘clean’ energy. Not only would we be able to build these power plants in the UK, reducing our dependency on foreign powers for key energy supplies and boosting the reputation of the UK science sector in the process, these power sources would provide real long-term and reliable ways to provide power for our country, as opposed to current temperamental renewable energy sources like solar and wind. UK ‘Net-Zero’ by 2050 has been estimated at over £3 trillion!

The dangers of a central bank Digital currency

Ewen Stewart

When the Treasury, the Bank of England and the Chancellor, Rishi Sunak, all float an idea it is wise to pay attention. The idea I'm referring to is the Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) or 'Bitcoin' as the media have dubbed it – the Government's possible answer to Bitcoin and other private digital currencies (PDC).

The Chancellor's tone is also interesting, attempting to reassure that any new digital currency would run alongside cash and not replace it, initially at least. That is the claim but there are strong reasons to believe the game plan is ultimately to replace cash, given apparent commitments within the Treasury and the expertise of the team and vested interests assembled.

The Chancellor has been careful not to frighten the horses, but even making statements about its hypothetical introduction in this context coupled with the investment the Bank of England is making into researching the idea demonstrates they are very serious. We need to take note and fully understand the implications which I believe could potentially result in a huge increase in the state over the individual.

CBDC would be revolutionary and without very strong and legally binding safeguards its adoption would hand the state very significant power not just over money supply but also potentially on how and on what one's private wealth is spent. So far CBDC is below the radar and of specialist technical interest – but make no mistake this is big and needs very close analysis and scrutiny.

Before we examine its advantages and disadvantages its worth investigating exactly how a digital currency differs from conventional currency because on the face of it almost all Sterling held is already in one sense digital – as it is held in bank

ledgers electronically with only a tiny and declining fraction held as conventional cash.

However, this misses the point. Traditional currency is ultimately backed, in theory at least, by the Central Bank with commercial banks, Lloyds for example, who then create money by lending within their capital structure and regulatory constraints. Individual accounts are subsets of this and the ultimate property of the account holder where the cleared funds, within agreed overdraft limits, can be used for any legal purpose.

Private digital currency, like Bitcoin is quite different and is not backed by any central bank. Instead new Bitcoin currency is generated by a competitive decentralised process called mining, with individuals rewarded by the network for their services in a competitive environment.

However, unlike traditional currency which can be and is created at the whim of the central bank, Bitcoin is designed in such a way that new Bitcoins can only be created at a fixed rate which is predictable and decreases over time with the number of new bitcoins being created automatically halving each year until a maximum of 22 million Bitcoins are in existence. Bitcoin is in theory at least limited in its quantum – fiat or conventional currency is not and in the latter case has been highly elastic in its issuance. This elasticity is the great flaw of fiat currencies in terms of preserving the value of money.

Another key difference is Bitcoin uses block-chain databases that are shared across the computer network thus providing a secure and decentralised record of transactions. This guarantees fidelity and security and is designed to generate trust without the need of a third party. The traditional central bank or commercial bank is thus redundant in the crypto

world.

Currently private digital currency is still embryonic and at most is a speculative asset class. Bitcoin, the market leader by a margin, currently has a market capitalisation of outstanding 'coin' at the time of writing of around \$1tn with a long tail of competitors who's combined worth is probably around the same again. This sounds a lot, and it is, but compared with the estimated stock of USD denominated currency it is minuscule, probably accounting for significantly less than 1% of global transactions. Crypto is coming out of the shadows for sure, but it remains a niche market.

On the face of it one might imagine it is welcome that a major central bank should be 'forward thinking' by examining the various options for Sterling in an increasingly digital world, however the devil is in the detail and there are very major grounds for concern.

What the UK central bank seems to have in mind is a very far cry from private digital currency with the central bank core ledger at the heart of it enabling regulated entities authorised access. These 'payment interface providers' are 'the user friendly interface' with the individual or company as the Bank of England below inelegantly puts it. This new Government-backed CBDC would thus potentially be quite different from its private equivalents in four primary spheres.

First, Government envisages the central bank sits at the core, not a decentralised process of controlled currency expansion, as with private currencies.

Second, it is not clear what process the central bank would have for limiting currency expansion. The

The dangers of a central bank

Digital currency

record since the global financial crisis has shown just how willing central banks are to create money via quantitative easing. A CBDC would theoretically enable that process to be taken a stage further simply creating or withdrawing credits as centralised policy makers dictate with a potentially much stronger transmission mechanism than the current QE and Asset Purchase programmes.

But third – and critically – Tom Mutton, the Director at the Bank of England responsible for overseeing analysis into CBDC, has argued that politicians must decide whether this government-backed digital currency is ‘programmable’ or not.

This sounds innocuous but it is anything but. There are two aspects to this; one is the potential to directly micromanage interest rates and the second is the potentially for the state to nudge or control actual purchases.

CBDC would enable an immediate transmission process where the central banks rate was effected and would potentially allow for highly negative interest rates if policy makers deemed demand was sluggish. While in parts of the Eurozone, under conventional money, rates are already negative CBDC would allow this to be taken a stage further with much a much greater potential for materially negative rates with clear implications for savers and indeed personal choice and liberty.

A second aspect could be effectively means that the State could have control over how you spend your money, not just the quantum of it. To even float this revolutionary and completely illiberal idea is very revealing indeed as to the thought process of some in the Treasury and Bank of England. This needs very careful watching and clarification for without very strong safeguards CBDC would result in the greatest power grab of the state over the individual yet.

With current money held in one’s

account one is free to do with it as one pleases (within understood and accepted laws). It’s yours to spend, or invest as you like. A ‘programmable’ CBDC currency could theoretically over-ride that freedom based not just on the sum in the account but also other variables at the whim of the central bank, or politicians – for example ethical or environmental objectives.

Unless a CBDC was set up entirely legally neutrally it could be used to block expenditure on items deemed ‘socially harmful’. Carbon intensive purchases are an obvious example (diesel fuel or indeed any fossil fuel), or the direction of spending of state benefits (no sugar, salt, fat, alcohol or tobacco products) might be another area that might attract attention. What might politicians dream up as bad in future?

The point is under the current monetary system there is no direct check on what you do with your money so long as it is legal – be it to buy red meat, or go vegan – and rightly so, that is what a free society is all about.

But unless explicitly excluded it would not be hard to imagine a CBDC tax or credit system introduced simply at the whim of government to suit whatever short term target it might have.

Nudge inducements to invest in politicians’ latest green paradise, or a digital penalty for purchasing a non-sustainable item, or perhaps some helicoptered social credits would all be temptingly possible. This may at first sound ridiculous but by even proposing the means as a possibility the door is opened to the mad world of politicians’ imaginations. One does not need to be a genius to understand the potentially a very serious new threat to individual liberty.

If lockdown has shown us anything it is how easy it is for the state to control our thoughts, words and deeds.

The devil does lie in the detail but the mere fact that our authorities

are considering a ‘programmable currency’ says it all. More-so, politicians being politicians it would not be hard to imagine the currency quickly morphing into much more with the ability to direct and dictate expenditure patterns and preferences in untold ways.

Sure, central banks have caused serious damage to fiat currency with their interference and constant desire to micro manage. The CBDC, unlike the private equivalent, potentially opens up a whole new avenue of state power to direct, control, helicopter in and withdrawn back at the flick of a switch.

We need to watch these developments very carefully. I should welcome a sound currency where its value fluctuated only down to productive achievement not artificial debasement. Some chance of that, I fear.

But any attempt to make this ‘programmable’ even if the authorities generously allow this digital currency initially to run in tandem with traditional currency, would effectively mark a major breach of an individual’s or corporate freedom to buy legally what they like.

The man from the ministry already demands a state over half the size of economy but to then nudge, direct and dictate how the remainder might be spent goes well beyond the pale. Break the link between currency and productivity/production in all its forms and you play with fire; breaking the link with personal choice and preference of what to buy and you cease to have a free society.

The irony is should our political class and their institutions attempt this currency change they will only hasten the collapse in confidence of the very monetary system they so heavily rely on.

Source: [Brexit-watch.org](https://www.brexit-watch.org)

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: info@junepress.com

Knighthood

Dear Sir,

I like many are shocked that the ex-prime minister Tony Blair has received a knighthood. It appears that taking the country to war resulting in the death of many British subjects on unconfirmed doctored information is now considered acceptable behaviour and worthy of a knighthood.

Many like me consider it would be better to have him charged with war crimes. No weapons of mass destruction were ever found and no evidence of their existence was found.

Please join the petition below to have this undeserved knighthood removed from Tony Blair as soon as possible.

<https://chnng.it/42WKSZXCND>

JANICE GODSAL

London

EU negotiations

Dear Sir,

The announcement that the Foreign Secretary Liz Truss has replaced Lord Frost as the UK negotiator with the EU over the outstanding Brexit issues should be good for the UK. But is it? She has talked about a willingness to “use legitimate provisions, including Article 16” as well as being firm with the EU.

She has expressed a wish to there being no checks or documentation for goods going from GB to NI as well as her comments regarding the impact of the Northern Ireland Protocol.

Furthermore a call for “greater movement” from the EU, but is she actually saying she is prepared to scale back UK demands? Only time will tell.

The EU carries out 20% of its entire regulatory checks on goods into Northern Ireland from the UK, while Northern Ireland represented just 0.2% of imports into the EU.

Calling for greater movement from the EU sounds a bit weak in the face of

the massively excessive level of checks currently employed.

In a recent *Sunday Times* article Liz Truss stated that “*by working together as sovereign equals, we can make it happen*”. The EU is not a sovereign nation unlike the UK and clearly has made it plain that it does not wish to make any changes to the existing situation. What it wants is for Northern Ireland to remain under the influence of the EU, and by extension to control aspects of the UK’s ability to assist their business prospects.

VIVIAN RICHARDS

Northern Ireland

Unemployment

Dear Sir,

The doom sayers -like those who said leaving the EU would lose jobs - told us throughout the Covid situation that the use of furlough would result in the loss of millions of jobs when it was rescinded. The reality has been that this was and has been proven to be untrue. In fact the latest unemployment figures show that 4.1% are unemployed the same level as pre-Covid.

What is surprising is the level of those not seeking work of 400,000 at the latest count. Many may be for legitimate reasons, but it must be time to question why? With 1.1 million vacancies for employment, and similar figures for those unemployed, why are so many unemployed and receiving benefits, are the benefits too generous? This should be seriously investigated, could it be that benefits discourage people from working?

DEREK EDWARDS

West Midlands

Democratic deficit

Dear Sir,

Yet again we see an elected MP leaving the party he was elected to represent and moving to a new party, a party he was not elected for by his constituents.

Christian Wakeford elected as a

Conservative MP now has decided his values have changed and he prefers those of the Labour party. He keeps his salary paid for by the taxpayer, yet the taxpayer has no opportunity to do anything about this until the next election.

Surely it is time for an overhaul of this system, should any MP decide he wishes to change the party he represents he should resign and stand again for his new party. He is no longer speaking or representing the constituency that voted him into office, but speaking for himself. This is a democratic deficit that needs correcting immediately!

ABBHEY STEVENS

Manchester

Boris Johnson’s future

Dear Sir,

The constant press reports of allegedly breaking covid rules by attending the so-called parties in the garden of number 10 Downing Street by Boris goes on.

What is the likelihood of Boris being replaced? The answer is more likely to come after the results of the May local elections have been announced, or the party conference, unless further evidence is produced.

Meanwhile the Conservative party are less popular with the public than the Labour party and Boris’s rating has dropped substantially.

Should the Conservative’s replace Boris, it will be a further blow to those who voted to leave the EU. This is because the EU agenda will again become toxic inside the party as the pro-EU lobby will try again to get a leader that will support their position.

The EU will continue to talk to Liz Truss about Brexit and the Northern Ireland Protocol, but they will also act very slowly, while waiting, in the hope that a new leader will be more EU compliant.

RICHARD DALLEY

Sussex

MEETINGS

This year due to the ongoing Covid crisis some of the meetings are being held both in person (where the wearing of face masks may be recommended) and virtual on line, please check before attending.

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Wednesday 9th February 6.00 pm

“Infections Which Use the Respiratory Route”

Chris Whitty, *Gresham Professor of Physics*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version:
@gres.hm/respiratory-infections
Registration required at
ww.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday 15th February 1.00 pm

“The Oil Shock and Neoliberalism”

Martin Daunton, *Visiting Professor of Economic History*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version: @gres.hm/oil-shock
Registration required at
ww.gresham.ac.uk

FREE - Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of UK-EU relations we may be able to advertise the event without charge.

eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175
or Email: info@junepress.com

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Thursday 24th February, 6.00 pm

“How to Measure and Manage Risk”

Alex Edmans, *Mercers’ School Memorial Professor of Business*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version
@gres.hm/manage-risk
Registration required at
ww.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday 1st March, 6.00 pm

“Innovators and Entrepreneurs in a Wellbeing Economy”

Jaqueline McGlade, *Frank Jackson Professor of the Environment*

PUBLIC MEETING
Bernard’s Inn Hall, Holborn, London
EC1N 2HH

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version
@gres.hm/innovators-wellbeing
Registration required at
ww.gresham.ac.uk

DIARY OF EVENTS

2022

French presidential elections April 10-24th

Czech Republic takes over EU Council Presidency 1st July

2023

Sweden takes over EU Council Presidency 1st January

Spain takes over EU Council Presidency 1st July

USEFUL WEB SITES

Brexit Party (Reform Party)
www.thebrexitparty.org
Brexit Watch
www.brexit-watch.org

USEFUL WEB SITES

Briefings For Britain
www.briefingsforbritain.co.uk
Briefings For Freedom
www.briefingsforfreedom.co.uk
Britain First
www.britainfirst.org
Bitish Future
www.britishfuture.org
British Weights & Measures Assoc.
www.bwmaonline.com
Bruges Group
www.brugesgroup.com
Campaign Against Euro-Federalism
www.caef.org.uk
Campaign for an Independent Britain
www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk
Civitas
www.civitas.org.uk
Democracy Movement
www.democracymovement.org.uk
EU Observer
www.euobserver.com
EU Truth
www.eutruith.org.uk
European Commission (London)
www.cec.org.uk
European Foundation
www.europeanfoundation.org
Fishing News
www.fishingnews.co.uk
Freedom Association
www.tfa.net
Freenations
www.freenations.net
Futurus
www.futurus-thinktank.com
Get Britain Out
www.getbritainout.org
Global Britain
www.globalbritain.co.uk
June Press (Publications)
www.junepress.com
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign
www.eurosafeguards.com
Leave means leave
www.leavemeansleave.eu
New Alliance
www.newalliance.org.uk
Policy Exchange
www.policyexchange.org.uk
The Red Cell (Think tank)
www.theredcell.co.uk
Statawatch
www.statawatch.org
The Taxpayers’ Alliance
www.taxpayersalliance.com
United Kingdom Independence Party
www.ukip.org
Veterans For Britain
http://www.veteransforbritain.uk

The End Of The English

The European Superstate
by David Brown

£6.99 - Pbk 2008 - 111 pp

Written as an apology to all grandchildren, it analyses how the EU planned for control of UK democracy.

Living In A Fascist Country

by Vernon Coleman

£15.99 - Pbk 2006 - 346 pp

An account of the conspiracies, greedy politicians, endless religious wars and disappearing freedom and privacy.

'Europe Doesn't Work

by Tim Congdon

£5.00 - Pamphlet 2013 - 32 pp

Congdon exposes the three-million-jobs at risk and related misconceptions of the dangers for the UK of leaving the EU.

Tribute to Ralph Harris

1924-2006

by CRCE

£9.95 - Pamphlet 2008 - 69 pp

Lord Harris of High Cross, was one of the leading figures in the fight against the EU and injustice everywhere.

All Books plus 10% P&P (UK Only)

Cheques to June Press Ltd

PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

A Challenge to the Pseudo-Liberals

Time For A Change
Before It's Too Late

by Christopher Hoskin

£4.00 - Pamphlet - 2020 - 33 pp

How Political Correctness and Multiculturalism in all its manifestations has come to dominate society and the world of politics. Without consultation with the people and the dangers it exposes for the future.

Worlds Apart

by Mica Jay

£7.99 - Pbk -2017 - 111 pp

An intriguing novel about how a cosmic explorer who crashes to earth in the Amazon jungle becomes a cosmic celebrity and his effect on a remote tribal community.

There's A Place For Us 1991-2021

Thirty Years History of the Wokingham Mental Health Crisis House

by Pam Jenkinson

£11.99 - Pbk 2021 - 289 pp

The author who runs this successful house argues that reliance on health professionals to enable mental health recovery, is to embrace a sad myth. Self help is always the answer supported by voluntary workers in a crisis house, to get people back into society with real successful examples.

Climate

All Is Well, All Will Be well
by Jeremy Niebor

£14.99 - Pbk - 2021 - 71 pp

How the dogma of global warming was first conceived and why. He argues how and why CO2 is not the real source.

Corbyn's Britain

Land of the Superwoke:

A Travel Guide to Corbyn's Britain

by Lee Rotherham

£13.99 - Pbk - 2019 - 265 pp

With a foreword by Jacob Rees-Mogg MP, a look into the past and possible future of a Hard Left Government.

Untouchable

by Pierre De Villemarest

£15.95 - Pbk -2005 - 506 pp

A former intelligence officer, examines who protected Bormann and Gestapo Muller after 1945.

Covid Crisis

101 Unanswered Questions

by Hugh Williams

£10.00 - Pamphlet -2021 - 82 pp

Are we being told the truth about Covid-19? Has the government handled this disease in any sort of sensible way? This book details the reasons for the public hesitancy with information from alternative medical views.

Online from ww.junepress.com or Tel: 08456 120175 email info@junepress.com

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£25

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.
Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.
I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to The June Press Ltd, PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

.....

.....

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	www.conservativesforbritain.org
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	www.britishfuture.org
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Futurus	www.futurus-thinktank.com
Global Britain	www.globalbritain.org
The Red Cell	www.theredcell.co.uk

POLITICAL PARTIES

Brexit (Reform) Party	0800 414 8525
Richard Tice	
Conservative	020 7222 9000
Boris Johnson MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Co-leaders:	
Carla Denya and Adrian Ramsey	
Labour Party	020 7783 1000
Sir Keir Starmer MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Sir Ed Davey	
UK Independence Party	020 3476 9564
Neil Hamilton	

ISSN 1361-4134

