

Inadvertently arming China?

Many people have been worried about the rise of Chinese influence around the world, that also includes in the UK.

Recently we heard about ex-RAF pilots being paid excessive amounts of money going to China and educating Chinese pilots, those pilots that one-day may be attacking UK forces.

Military expert, Robert Clark picks up one year on from the research paper 'Inadvertently Arming China? One Year On: The Chinese military complex and its exploitation of scientific research at UK universities', published by Civitas in 2021.

Inadvertently Arming China (2021) documented and analysed the extent to which some of the UK's leading universities, research institutions, academics, scientists and researchers, collaborated with Peoples Republic of China (PRC) entities directly linked to either the Chinese military or to Chinese military-funded and supported universities, for sensitive dual-use technology research.

This latest update uncovers at least 60 Chinese nationals working at UK universities and research institutions, in the last 18 months, who are either employed directly by UK universities as researchers, academics, engineers, and scientists, or are directly cited as either visiting or associated fellows, in a professional capacity. These individuals have all come either directly from one of China's defence conglomerates, such as the Aviation Industry Corporation of China (AVIC), or from one of the High Risk or Very High Risk People's Liberation Army (PLA)

This figure includes:

- Two individuals who were

concurrently listed within a serving branch of the PLA whilst working for a UK university on potential dual-use technology, including the PLA's Air Force Engineering University, and the PLA's Strategic Support Force;

- One individual who was previously listed at the PLA's Rocket Force University of Engineering prior to their professional affiliation at a UK university;

- Eight individuals who worked at various PLA institutions during their collaborations with UK universities and academics, and three individuals who had previously worked at PLA institutions prior to collaboration with UK universities and academics.

These figures likely represent only the tip of the iceberg. Due to methodological limitations, a more complete and exhaustive review would likely take far longer.

Moreover, of the 16 official Sino-British joint labs first identified in IAC in 2021, this report determines that at least six remain fully operational, across four separate UK universities, having produced multiple potential dual-use collaborative research projects in the last 18 months since IAC. This is despite the majority of the UK universities maintaining in their responses from 2021 that formal collaborations had ceased.

Of the remaining ten joint labs, eight others have since retained close research collaborations together with the original Chinese defence university or company, continuing joint research outputs, but in a less formal manner (i.e. often at the individual rather than at the institutional level).

The PLA-linked universities, are still listed at such institutions, or have

only recently left.

This follow-up study draws attention to the continued pervasive presence of Chinese military-linked conglomerates and PLA-linked universities in the sponsorship of high-technology research centres in several leading UK universities, and their continued research relationships at both an institutional and intra-personal level.

In many cases, these UK universities continue to unintentionally generate research that is sponsored by and may be of use to China's military conglomerates, including those with activities in the production of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMDs), including intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) as well as hypersonic missiles, in which China is involved in a new arms race and seeks 'massively destabilising' weaponry. The geopolitical ramifications of which have serious consequences for British national security.

This publication points to the need for an urgent strategic reassessment by the new British government in Westminster, for new rules for scientific research with PRC universities and companies, some of which should be applied directly to the UK's research councils and universities, while some may require legislation. Other rules are needed for scientific research in wider potentially sensitive scientific fields generally and in universities in particular...

The conclusions it draws is that China continues to militarise in order to achieve equal military power with

Continued on page 2

Inadvertently arming China?

Continued from page 1

the US in only five years' time. This is crucial to achieving unification by either coercion or force of Taiwan – a stated CCP strategic aim. In addition, China has a long history of weapons sales to regimes that carry out grievous human rights abuses including Iran, Syria, Burma and North Korea. More recently, Beijing have also supplied Russia with arms, intelligence, and cyber capabilities during Moscow's unprovoked and illegal war in Ukraine. Furthermore, China's development of a surveillance state is already leading to systematic human rights abuses, with its treatment of the Uighur minority described as genocide.

The methods by which the UK monitors and controls Chinese involvement in UK university research are, we suggest, fundamentally still woefully inadequate. The companies sponsoring UK-based research centres include China's largest weapons manufacturers, including producers of strike fighter engines, ICBMs, nuclear warheads, stealth aircraft, military drones, tanks, military-use metals and materials, and naval warships.

At its simplest, for the UK government and taxpayer to continually fund and assist the technological development and the force-projection capabilities of the Chinese military is not in the British national interest. This is a picture of deep 'strategic incoherence'. China is demonstrating rapid technological military development and growing force-projection capabilities. To risk financing and enabling these developments suggests a lack of strategic coordination which it was hoped would end after the government correctly identified China as a systemic challenge in the 2021 integrated review of defence.

This points to the need for an urgent strategic reassessment by the new British government in Westminster, for new rules for scientific research with PRC universities and companies, some of which should be applied directly to the UK's research councils and

universities, while some may require legislation. Other rules are needed for scientific research in wider potentially sensitive scientific fields generally and in universities in particular.

The report also recommends that the UK government should:

- List all those Chinese military-linked companies and institutions that it wants to bar from sponsoring science research in UK universities and from research cooperation in general. At an absolute minimum this ought to include the National University of Defence Technology (NUDT), the Seven Sons of National Defence, and all Chinese defence conglomerates. Serious consideration should be applied to adding all universities rated as High Risk and Very High Risk by the Australian Strategic Policy Institute (ASPI) on their universities tracking data.

- List those entities it wishes to prevent making inward investments generally into the UK. This has been the practice of the US government and looks set to continue under the Biden administration.

- Introduce much more thorough oversight mechanisms for UK universities and research centres accepting funding and other support from entities linked to hostile military powers. This is not happening with Chinese sponsorship to the same degree as say Iran or North Korea, yet undermines national security potentially even further.

- Initiate a public audit of UK universities' sponsorship policies to establish the total Chinese funding of UK technology research and establish new rules for universities themselves, as well as for United Kingdom Research and Innovation (UKRI), Innovate UK, the Royal Society, and research councils. Combined with an 'entities list', this may be best placed in new legislation dealing with research and Chinese military-linked organisations specifically, or authoritarian states generally.

- Set up a new government

organisation similar to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), whose role would include monitoring and assessment of university sponsorship.

- While it is important to preserve academic freedom, the government should more deeply assess whether some of what is currently deemed 'basic scientific research', or research with findings in the public domain, may have possible dual-uses in sanctioned countries including China, and where approval for research centres may have allowed projects which are exposed to this risk to take place.

- Further review the Academic Technology Approval Scheme (ATAS), to better control visa (re)applications for international students and researchers (apart from exempt nationalities) whose research may create risks in certain sensitive subjects – particularly those with military links to hostile foreign powers. There are specific cases of PLA personnel studying dual-use technology at UK universities who have seemingly had their ATAS applications approved. Not only is this an incredibly alarming oversight by the Home Office, but those individuals found to be from a hostile foreign military studying sensitive technology subjects which have a potential dual-use military application should have their visas revoked and removed from the UK.

- Reassess the areas of scientific research that can be carried out by public research institutions and/or in which research findings can be publicly released. These measures should form part of an urgent reassessment of the security implications of the so-called 'Golden Era' policies towards China and the strategic assumptions that underpinned them.

Source: *Further information including the research research paper is available from civitas.org.uk*

Facts or false claims?

Derek Sterling

This book has been written for all those who question the information and propaganda that is constantly put out by the main-media organisations including the BBC and most politicians.

Conspiracy stories and theories on all aspects of international actions, and government information have always existed, but are growing at an alarming rate. Historical information is often provided by so-called experts who we are expected to believe know best, and cannot be challenged, but they should be.

Whether you believe all the so-called conspiracy stories and allegations that this book raises is for the reader to decide? However, to do so you require extra information and facts that are currently not easily available, or appear to be deliberately withheld. This book attempts with examples, explanations and evidence to fill that

Global Conspiracy
Evidence, Examples & Explanation
by Hugh Williams
St Edward's Press
Pbk 207 pp 2022

Available from
The June Press
Price £15.00 + 10% p&p
(see back cover)

ISBN 978-1-909650-40-4

gap in our knowledge.

Many educated and influential people that have raised questions are named, including Churchill, JFK, Kissinger, Rockefeller and even Bill Clinton who said in 1998, "By the time you become the leader of a country, someone else makes all the decisions".

The subjects covered are widespread and include, evolution, the age of the earth, religion, climate,

covid vaccination, liberalism, the vatican, science and banking and finance. The moon landing, 9/11 and the actions of America since in the end of the cold war and the current Russia/Ukraine situation are discussed.

The author expresses a serious religious believe that goes alongside his work.

After reading the arguments and evidence that this publication produces the public will be left with the feeling, that they should be allowed to make decisions based on a larger amount of information than that with which we are currently supplied.

The book ends with a message of hope and believe that the truth needs to be revealed as much as possible.

Like many I may disagree with some of the conclusions or suggestions made by the author, but strongly believe that we should be given full disclosure of known facts.

Cost of Illegal immigration

The current cost of providing accommodation for the 40,000 illegal immigrants that have arrived by boat from France (a safe country not at

war) this year alone is reported as costing £2.6 million per day. Added to this is the cost of rescuing them at sea. However, the woke society appears

more interested in the conditions they are held in at Manston Asylum Centre in Kent, than stopping them from crossing in the first place.

Time to reign in the public sector

Should the government want to make financial savings, there is no better place than to start with the public sector.

According to the Office for National Statistics (ONS):

In 1999 public sector employment

stood at 2.3million.

In 2010 public sector employment stood at 2.8 million.

Today the number is 3.5 million.

A figure of seven hundred thousand more than when the Conservatives

came to power and a staggering 52% growth compared with 1999.

It should therefore, be possible to make savings of at least £60bn by simply reducing the size of the public sector. This should be done quickly and is long overdue.

Financial savings due to Brexit

Let us not forget that the EU budget for 2022 was set at £146 billion, thanks to Brexit, the UK will not have to pay over £18bn towards it!

This money will mostly come from the contributions which the small number of net payers make to the EU's budget. Without them, the EU would be bankrupt. In previous years the UK has ended up paying over 12.5% of the

total.

However, due to the Withdrawal Agreement (WA) between the UK and the EU, originally agreed by Theresa May, part of this money will still be paid by the UK to the EU, despite the fact that the UK left formally on 1st January 2021. Paying into the budget will continue until 2064.

The UK has paid EU £41bn from the

leave vote in 2016 to end-2020, and will keep on paying

Furthermore, the official figures for the UK's contributions to the EU do not include its large payments into the EU's 'off-budget' funds. This has always been a serious omission by HM Treasury officials and others, when they present information which is then reported by the mainstream media.

EU and the Global South time to listen

Shada Islam

This is no time for short attention spans. September's mega jamboree, aka the UN General Assembly, is already history as we grapple with new and pressing EU priorities.

Yet if as many claim geopolitics really is the EU's true calling, the bloc should learn lessons from what did - or rather did not - happen at the UN.

Lip service to global solidarity is not enough. What is needed is empathy and humility, not outmoded Eurocentric arrogance

True, there is much to fret over: Georgia Meloni is poised to head a far-right government in Italy, member states are not sure if they should open their arms to those fleeing Russia's first mobilisation since World War II and Vladimir Putin may - or may not - be bluffing about going nuclear in his Ukraine war.

Also causing sleepless nights across Europe are inter alia the energy crisis, rising inflationary pressures, increasing public anxiety over making ends meet and fears over when and where the virus will strike again.

No wonder then that EU policymakers are in a rush to put September's New York talk fest behind them.

My advice? Don't do it

If the EU really wants to get ahead in a complex, complicated and fiercely competitive world, it must move beyond the West-centric transatlantic frame and truly engage with the Global-South.

This means sharing Europe's knowledge, experience and wisdom with partners - but not lecturing and hectoring them.

Once in a while, EU folk must listen and learn. Telling others what to do must no longer be considered part and parcel of being European.

Good advice is welcome. But the EU's constant finger-wagging and moralising is becoming unbearably repetitive and self-defeating. Most

countries in the Global South view it as Eurocentric and neo-colonial.

French president Emmanuel Macron's call at the UN for non-Western states to stop sitting on the fence and drop their "form of neutrality" in the Russia-Ukraine conflict is one such example.

The French leader, like others in Europe and America, believes that those who say they are non-aligned are wrong and are "making a historic error". He is right to voice his opinion. But he is wrong to berate.

Nobody loves Putin and Russia's violation of Ukraine's sovereignty has sent chills down the backs of many Asian and African leaders.

But their decision to try and stay out of the fray and disregard demands to join the Western war effort is based on national interest, not a whim.

Global South leaders do not want to become a pawn in a dangerous geopolitical 'Great Game' which they know imperils their nations. These arguments must be heard.

The inconvenient truth is that the EU's "we know best" approach is creating resentment among many non-Western states who complain of being infantilised.

An estimated 71 million people worldwide are experiencing poverty because of soaring food and energy prices driven by the conflict in Ukraine, according to the UN Development Programme.

Small surprise then that developing nations want the money being spent on the war to be made available to tackle food insecurity, poverty, energy shortages and the impact of climate change.

New Cold War in Africa?

As Senegalese president Macky Sall, the current chairman of the African Union underlined, "Africa has suffered enough from the burden of history" and does not want to be the "breeding ground of a new cold war."

Asians too are wary of increasing ideological tensions. Southeast Asian nations, with the exception of Myanmar, remain reluctant to take sides - although Singapore has imposed its own unilateral sanctions on Russia.

Importantly China and India, internationally berated for their "pro-Russian neutrality", are now pressing Russia to end the war and opt for democracy, diplomacy and dialogue.

There's also the awkward question of double standards. Much of Europe's legitimate concerns about the erosion of human rights, democracy and the rule of law worldwide is being undermined by its failure to put its own house in order.

Rising racism, the increased popularity of Europe's Far Right parties and now Meloni's victory in Italian elections, are making a mockery of Europe's claims to be a Union of values and equality.

EU leaders can hardly call out discrimination against minorities abroad if they are ready to accommodate racism, Islamophobia and anti-Semitism at home.

Europe's words of support for Iranian women protesting the compulsory hijab would carry more weight if EU governments did not have a history of interfering in the sartorial choices of their own Muslim female citizens.

The picture on the energy front is no prettier. India, Pakistan and Bangladesh, among others, are being starved of access to liquefied natural gas because of the EU's huge appetite for the product to replace Russian energy.

EU countries are also developing offshore gas fields in Africa for export to Europe yet - much to the irritation of African leaders - maintain a ban on development funds for gas investments on the continent.

Source: 27th September 2022, *euobserver.com*

The Rwanda case

Dr Lee Rotherham

The Rwanda case has exposed the pitfalls of latterday lawfare - now its time for ministers to do something about it!

* The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) has exchanged constructive safeguarding to high-handed interventionism.

* It's easy to spot the activist QC, more interested in 'changing the world' than tackling injustices.

* Like a naive tom-tom owner, UK courts followed the direction set out by Strasbourg.

The British Courts and our legal system are the envy of the world. We know this, because so many people choose to illegally cross the Channel in order to exploit them.

An attempt to remove the most spurious claimants for processing in Rwanda very visibly failed recently. Like a naive tom-tom owner, UK courts followed the direction set out by the Strasbourg Court and applied an absurdly generous interpretation of the individual's 'human rights'. Meanwhile, over in Strasbourg itself, a judge issued a peremptory last minute ruling to halt activity without even hearing the UK Government's legal case.

This blockage was predictable. In the past, governments ran from ever exposing their powerlessness. But this time it is acting as a necessary precursor to reform, to publicly show that failure is not down to a lack of will on ministers' part.

The relationship between the nation's political leaders and its courts must inevitably involve a teaspoonful of tension. All governments have innovative agendas that they need to steer through legal morasses and molasses. Centuries of statute and precedent combine to make a legal

system that is not only technocratic and generally bewildering to the layman (including a majority of MPs), but increasingly self-contradictory.

Why so? because Strasbourg has changed the nature of that relationship from constructive safeguarding to high-handed interventionism. Human rights oversight is now deployed as a living instrument – as a crowbar rather than a wheel clamp. A tangle of increasingly contradictory privileges now have to be weighed against one another, argued by lobbyists pursuing a proxy agenda they know they cannot deliver through democratic means. The activist QC these days is easy to spot; they crowdfund their whims and boast of having entered the legal profession to 'change the world' rather than to stop individual injustice.

There was a time when the public happily acknowledged the complexity of conflicting lines of argument and could sympathise with the judge. Spycatcher was perhaps the start of the rot, prioritising personal rights that discarded duty. Certainly if you dig into the stats, the 1980s is the era of increasing challenges, vertiginous implementation costs, and British judges in Strasbourg dissenting from their peers. I suspect it is no coincidence that this acceleration overlaps with the moment the labour movement and the trades unions realised that Jacques Delors at the European Commission could help them circumvent the elected government of Margaret Thatcher.

Under her successors, the courts became in turn a preferred route to disrupt the Blair Government over its international interventionism, and then a foundry for tools to carjack the Brexit referendum. To add to the errant ECHR we are now burdened with a system of casual Judicial Review, acting like the

second parent that a child forbidden from staying up late turns to. Structurally, there is also a new Supreme Court whose physical tether to Parliament has been sliced away.

The misfortune was that this transformation has been happening over an age of scandal. Back to Basics, Cash for Questions, Mandelson, Officegate, Hutton, parliamentary expenses – public faith in politicians inevitably dropped, if not to Italian levels then at least to a grade where many (particularly the young) put more faith in activist lawyers to make their laws, even post-liberal ones.

It will take time to build that confidence and respect back up. An attempt to honestly tackle asylum shopping is a crucial precursor, simply because it is reversing Labour's policy of moral cowardice.

Blair tried to hide his Government's powerlessness by masking in advance every case he stood to lose at the ECHR. The Human Rights Act 1998 approached the problem in a typically socialist way – it nationalised it and made it worse. In passing that law, MPs turned a political problem they wanted to do nothing about into a judicial problem they could do nothing about, other than lie.

Change starts with honesty, not just about the feudal hierarchy of the Strasbourg system, but over the wider issues of latterday lawfare. We are yet to see whether ministers will take this crucial battle to its logical and necessary conclusion, by breaking with both the Human Rights Act and the supremacy of the European Court of Human Rights. To do so would be an act of immense political integrity – and one that voters will remember.

Source: www.theredcell.co.uk

The EU loves taking legal actions

Why the European Union (EU) levels legal accusations against the UK for breaking the Northern

Ireland Protocol currently standing at 6 in all, we hear that according to Tom Harwood of *GB News* the EU already

has hundreds of legal actions it has taken out against its own member states for various infringements.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: info@junepress.com

Ukraine and democracy

Dear Sir,

In his article, "UKs Brexit - Unfinished Business", UKIP spokesman for Brexit and Northern Ireland, Lester Taylor, (*eurofacts* 7th October) repeats the atlantic mantra, "Russian aggression". This will not do. The aggression in the Ukraine was actually started in 2014 by Ukraine regular forces and irregular neo-Nazi militias, who began shelling Russian-speaking areas in the east of the country - and continued to do so for 8 years before Russia embarked on any military action.

It is a disgrace that so many British politicians and political commentators are expressing support for a fascistic and neo-Nazi régime - something which during World War II, would have earned them internment for the duration of hostilities.

And if anyone wants to argue that Ukraine is a democracy, they should ask this: When in history has ant fascist or Nazi régime ever voluntarily and peacefully handed over power to democracy? Ukraine "democracy" is just a sham to curry favour with NATO and the EU. In reality, the neo-Nazis are "the power behind the throne".

ROGER ENSKAT
London

Global Britain

Dear Editor,

Recently with the change of prime minister we keep hearing the call 'What does Global Britain mean?'

The answer is to have a proper global outlook with trade and fiscal understanding of the wider world and its cultures and values. Politicians appear to be fixated with the EU whilst ignoring the greater benefits available through the Commonwealth and so-called third world countries.

We need to buy the goods and services that we actually need from countries that wish to have free-trade with the UK and that are mutually

beneficial.

The country needs to maintain a close relationship with the USA especially in terms of making sure that militarily we are in step and that the equipment they use is compatible with there's. Purchasing equipment that is not compatible with the US forces we leave us exposed to the whims of other countries, when we are often in conflict with their aims and objectives. An example of which we experienced with the French during the Faulklands conflict.

PAUL STUBBS
London

Leadership

Dear Sirs,

At last we have changed our prime minister to one who understands how the economy actually works, and thank god the change took place quickly.

Rishi Sunak may appear boring to many but surely at a time like this when the economy is in such a mess we need a leader, who knows how economic markets work and the effect that any changes to taxation and fiscal rules will have on our future.

Liz Truss may have had good intentions but did not understand fully how the global economy actually works. She was correct that we need lower taxes but that can only be done safely when the countries economy can afford them.

HEATHER READ
Yorkshire

Immigration

Dear Editor,

The cost to the UK of immigration (excluding illegal immigration) is almost always stated as good for the economy because you have more workers paying tax. However, the true cost of providing health (including hospitals, doctors & dentists), schools (teachers), larger roads (more transport), more housing and welfare

payments is not included. Added to that is the often large cultural differences and respect for others.

But that is not all, the new immigrants then bring their dependent families over and that add further costs to the exchequer.

Immigration only works well when those immigrants are educated and healthy before they arrive and have a proper job, one that means they are self-sufficient economically and that the job will guarantee them long-term employment.

RICHARD DAVIES
Cornwall

European Political Community

Dear Sir,

Could it be that Liz Truss was starting to show her pro-EU credentials? If so, how will Rishi Sunak deal with this new organisation?

According to a recent article by Marc Perini, there were worrying signs as Liz Truss actually attended the meeting of the proposed European Political Community (EPC). "Its first notable achievement of the October 6-7th summit in Prague is simply that it happened. When the idea of an EPC was floated on May 9th by France's President Emmanuel Macron, the concept was wide in scope: 'It would allow democratic European nations that subscribe to our shared core values to find a new space for political and security cooperation, cooperation in the energy sector, in transport, investments, infrastructures, the free movement of persons and in particular of our youth'.

Furthermore, discussions were held on the EU's Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) - an EU defense initiative that - voted unanimously to invite the UK to join the military mobility project. This looks like a cunning way to get the UK back under the control of the EU.

DIANA RILEY
Bristol

MEETINGS

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Monday **14th November**, 6.00 pm

“Trust and Cryptocurrencies”

Raghavendra Rau, *Mercers’ School
Memorial Professor of Business*

PUBLIC MEETING
Venue - not confirmed

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version:
@gres.hm/trust-crypto
Registration required at
www.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Wednesday **16th November**, 6.00 pm

*“The Irish Question and the Ulster
Question Then and Now”*

Vernon Bogdanor, *Emeritus Gresham
Professor of Law*

PUBLIC MEETING
Venue - not confirmed

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version:
@gres.hm/irish-ulster
Registration required at
www.gresham.ac.uk

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **22nd November**, 6.00 pm

*“The Atmospheric Physics behind Net
Zero”*

Myles, *Frank Jackson Foundation
Professor of the Environment*

PUBLIC MEETING
Venue - not confirmed

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version:
@gres.hm/atmospheric-zero
Registration required at
www.gresham.ac.uk

FREE
Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting
and/or conference dealing with the
subject of the UK-EU relationship.

Contact:
eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175
or
Email: info@junepress.com

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Thursday **31st December**, 6.00 pm

“Do We Need Judges?”

Leslie Thomas, *Gresham Professor of
Law*

PUBLIC MEETING
Venue - not confirmed

Admission Free - Tickets required

Online version:
@gres.hm/judges
Registration required at
www.gresham.ac.uk

DIARY OF EVENTS

UK Budget 17th November
Autumn Statement

2023

Sweden takes over 1st January
EU Council Presidency

Spain takes over 1st July
EU Council Presidency

2024

Belgium takes over 1st January
EU Council Presidency

Hungary takes over 1st July
EU Council Presidency

USEFUL WEB SITES

Brexit Watch
www.brexit-watch.org
Briefings For Britain
www.briefingsforbritain.co.uk

USEFUL WEB SITES

Briefings For Freedom
www.briefingsforfreedom.co.uk
Britain First
www.britainfirst.org
Bitish Future
www.britishfuture.org
British Weights & Measures Assoc.
www.bwma.org.uk
Bruges Group
www.brugesgroup.com
Campaign Against Euro-Federalism
www.caef.org.uk
Campaign for an Independent Britain
www.cibuk.org
Civitas
www.civitas.org.uk
Democracy Movement
www.democracymovement.org.uk
EU Observer
www.euobserver.com
EU Truth
www.eutruth.org.uk
European Commission (London)
www.cec.org.uk
European Foundation
www.europeanfoundation.org
Fishing News
www.fishingnews.co.uk
Freedom Association
www.tfa.net
Freenations
www.freenations.net
Futurus
www.futurus-thinktank.com
Get Britain Out
www.getbritainout.org
Global Britain
www.globalbritain.co.uk
June Press (Publications)
www.junepress.com
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign
www.eurosafeguards.com
Leave means leave
www.leavemeansleave.eu
New Alliance
www.newalliance.org.uk
Policy Exchange
www.policyexchange.org.uk
The Reform Party
https://www.reformparty.uk
The Red Cell (Think tank)
www.theredcell.co.uk
Statawatch
www.statawatch.org
The Taxpayers’ Alliance
www.taxpayersalliance.com
United Kingdom Independence Party
www.ukip.org
Veterans For Britain
http://www.veteransforbritain.uk

The End Of The EnglishThe European Superstate
by David Brown**£6.99 - Pbk 2008 - 111 pp**

Written as an apology to all grandchildren, it analyses how the EU planned for control of UK democracy.

Reflections on the Revolution in Europe

by Christopher Caldwell

£14.99 - Pbk 2009 - 363 pp

How mass immigration affects European and UK culture and religious values extending to democracy itself.

[Special Offer £10.00]**Elephant in the Room**

by David Challice

£6.50 - Pbk 2015 - 300 pp

Bite-size nuggets of information regarding the UK/EU situation over a number of years.

[Special Offer £4.00]**The City of London in Retreat**

The EU's attack on Britain's most successful industry

by Professor Tim Congdon

£4.99 - Pamphlet 2014 - 36 pp**All Books plus 10% P&P (UK Only)**
Cheques to June Press Ltd
PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA**Why Did Britain****Take The Wrong Path?**

by Christopher Hoskin

£9.99 - Pbk - 2009 - 270 pp

How and why the UK lost its way and ended up with a fear of self-government resulting in democracy being exchanged for the idea that life and trade would be better inside the EU without any understanding of what it would really be like.

[Special Offer £5.00]**Worlds Apart**

by Mica Jay

£7.99 - Pbk -2017 - 111 pp

An intriguing novel about how a cosmic explorer who crashes to earth in the Amazon jungle becomes a cosmic celebrity and his effect on a remote tribal community.

Triumph and Tragedy 1991-2016

The Wokingham Mental Health Crisis House Twenty-Five Year History

by Pam Jenkinson

£11.99 - Pbk 2016 - 299 pp

One woman's fight to help the so-called mentally ill back into a real life working and living situation. With true proven examples. How self help can work successfully if properly supported by truly caring voluntary people not the unsuccessful state system.

[Special Offer £7.00]**Climate**

All Is Well, All Will Be Well

by Jeremy Nieboer

£14.99 - Pamphlet - 2021 - 71 ppHow the dogma of global warming was first conceived and why. He argues how and why CO₂ is not the real source.**Climate - CO₂ natures gift**

by Jeremy Nieboer

£15.00 - Pamphlet -2022 - 77 ppProvides full information as to why CO₂ is not a pollutant and does not form a blanket to trap heat radiated by Earth's surface, so it is not causing warming of the planet that the doom merchants say.**Corbyn's Britain**

Land of the Superwoke:

A Travel Guide to Corbyn's Britain

by Lee Rotherham

£13.99 - Pbk - 2019 - 265 pp

With a foreword by Jacob Rees-Mogg MP, a look into the past and possible future of a Hard Left Government.

Global Conspiracy

Evidence, Examples and Explanations

by Hugh Williams

£15.00 - Pbk- 2022 - 207 pp

Covid, Climate change and more, the author questions them all, and explains how the politicians and the media silence all those who dare speak out.

Online from ww.junepress.com or Tel: 08456 120175 email info@junepress.com*eurofacts*

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£25

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.

Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to **The June Press Ltd, PO Box 119**
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	www.conservativesforbritain.org
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	www.britishfuture.org
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Futurus	www.futurus-thinktank.com
Global Britain	www.globalbritain.org
The Red Cell	www.theredcell.co.uk

POLITICAL PARTIES

Brexit (Reform) Party	0800 414 8525
Richard Tice	
Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rishi Sunak MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Co-leaders:	
Carla Denya and Adrian Ramsey	
Labour Party	020 7783 1000
Sir Keir Starmer MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Sir Ed Davey	
UK Independence Party	020 3476 9564
Neil Hamilton	

ISSN 1361-4134



9 771361 413006